# STUDENTS' DIFFICULTIES IN LISTENING TO SHORT TALK OF TOEFL-LIKE AT ENGLISH DEPARTMENT OF BUNG HATTA UNIVERSITY

#### **THESIS**



BY:

ULYA RAHMI FADHILA 1410013121027

ENGLISH DEPARTMENT
TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION FACULTY
BUNG HATTA UNIVERSITY
PADANG
2018

## STUDENTS' DIFFICULTIES IN LISTENING TO SHORT TALK OF TOEFL-LIKE AT ENGLISH DEPARTMENT OF BUNG HATTA UNIVERSITY

#### **THESIS**

Submitted to Fulfill a Partial Requirement for Strata 1 (one) Degree at English Department of Teacher Training and Education Faculty Bung Hatta University



BY:

ULYA RAHMI FADHILA 1410013121027

ENGLISH DEPARTMENT
TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION FACULTY
BUNG HATTA UNIVERSITY
PADANG
2018

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**



Alhamdulillahirabbil 'alamin

First, the researcher would like to express her great thanks to Allah SWTfor giving her chance and blessing her days and effort in accomplishing her thesis entitled "Students' Difficulties in Listening to Talk of TOEFL-like at English Department of Bung Hatta University". Moreover, she would like to extend Salawat and Salam to the Prophet Muhammad SAW who has guided human being to straight way and correctness.

The researcher would like to express her appreciation to Dr. Joni Alfino, S.Pd, M.Pd as the first advisor, and Dra. Ernati, M.Pd as the second advisor as well as the chairwoman of English Department and LailatulHusna, S.Pd, M.Pd as the Secretary of English Department and also for all lecturers who have taught and encouraged her for four years.

Next, the researcher extends her great thanks to her beloved parents; her lovely father, SyamsulBahri and her beautiful mother, Nurasmahwho have been loving, supporting, encouraging and praying for her in every situation. At last, the researcher wants to thankher bestfriends who always accompany and support her for this four years; AyuSundari, Mia Windari, BlitzkaFattiahSyaris, Ellya Helena, Karina Defin, NurulFitria, LinggaSaraswati, and Yogi Febrian.

Finally, the researcher hopes this research can be useful for everyone, especially in improving the quality of English teaching in the future. The researcher realizes that this thesis is not perfect yet and have several weaknesses. Therefore, she welcomes any suggestion and criticism from the readers to improve this thesis. Any mistakes found in this thesis remain the reseracher's.

Padang, August 2018

The Researcher

#### **ABSTRACT**

Fadhila, UlyaRahmi. (2018). Students' Difficulties in Listening to Short Talk of

TOEFL-like at English Department of Bung Hatta

University

Advisors: 1. Dr. Joni Alfino, S.Pd, M.Pd.

#### 2. Dra. Ernati, M.Pd

This research was aimed at describing the second year students' difficulties in listening to talk of TOEFL-like at English Department of Bung Hatta University. The design of this research was descriptive in nature. The number of population members was 23 students. The researcher used total sampling technique to choose the sample. The instrument used to get the data was listening test. This test was categorized as standardized test because the material of test is derived from TOEFL-like Test book.

The result of data analysis showed that the students had difficulties in listening to short talk of TOEFL-like, especially to identify main idea, details, purpose, and implication. It was proved by the fact that the students' difficulties in listening to talk was 74.29%, which means high level of difficulty. The students' difficulties to identify main idea was 82.60%, which means very high level of difficulty. The students' difficulties to identify details was 71.73%, which means high level of difficulty. Then, the students' difficulties to identify purpose was 73.91% which means high level of difficulty. Last, the researcher found the students' difficulties to identify implication was 69.56%, which means high level of difficulty.

Based on the findings of this study, a simple conclusion could be drawn that the students had difficulties in listening to short talk of TOEFL-like. It is suggested that the students need to comprehend listening materials, especially in listening to talk, and familiarize with the type of questions. Besides, the lecturer should find a better strategy to minimize the students' difficulties in listening to short talk.

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	i
ABSTRACT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF CHARTS	ix
LIST OF APPENDICES	X
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background of the Problem	1
1.2 Identification of the Problem	3
1.3 Limitation of the Problem	4
1.4 Formulation of the Problem	4
1.5 Research Questions	4
1.6 Purpose of the Research	5
1.7 Significance of the Research	5
1.8 Definition of Key Terms	6
CHAPTER II: REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	
2.1 Listening	
2.1.1 Definition of Listening	7
2.1.2 Types of Listening	8
2.1.3 Process of Listening	10
2.2 Listening Comprehension	
2.2.1 The Concept of Listening Comprehension	14
2.2.2 The Importance of Listening Comprehension	14
2.3 Listening Difficulties	16
2.4 Talk	

	2.4.1 The Concept of Talk	. 17
	2.4.2 Perspective of Short Talk	. 18
	2.4.3 Listening to Short Talk	. 18
2.5	TOEFL	
	2.5.1 The Nature of TOEFL	. 19
	2.5.2 TOEFL Types	. 20
	2.5.3 TOEFL-like Test	. 22
СН	APTER III: RESEARCH METHOD	
3.1	Research Design	. 23
3.2	Population and Sample	. 23
3.3	Instrumentation	. 24
3.4	Technique of Collecting Data	. 25
3.5	Technique of Analyzing Data	. 25
СН	IAPTER IV: FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1	Findings	
	4.1.1 Students' Difficulties in Listening to Talk of TOEFL-like	. 27
	4.1.2 Students' Difficulties to Identify Main Idea of Short Talk	. 28
	4.1.3 Students' Difficulties to Identify Details of Short Talk	. 29
	4.1.4 Students' Difficulties to Identify Purpose of Short Talk	. 29
	4.1.5 Students' Difficulties to Identify Implication of Short Talk	. 30
4.2	Discussion	
	4.2.1 Students' Difficulties to Identify Main Idea of Short Talk	. 31
	4.2.2 Students' Difficulties to Identify Details of Short Talk	. 31
	4.2.3 Students' Difficulties to Identify Purpose of Short Talk	. 32
	4.2.4 Students' Difficulties to Identify Implication of Short Talk	. 32

## **CHAPTER V: CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS**

5.1 Conclusions	
5.2 Suggestions	35
REFERENCES	36
APPENDICES	