

Junaidi-Zaitul-Sefnedi- Hendra_Suherman_2020.pdf

by

Submission date: 24-Jul-2023 11:24AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2135856999

File name: Junaidi-Zaitul-Sefnedi-Hendra_Suherman_2020.pdf (959.39K)

Word count: 4496

Character count: 24691




Figure 1 Study Area

Source: Padang City Spatial Plan in 2010

determinants of fishermen income (8) Oman and classified the determinants into three groups: fishing inputs and catch, socioeconomic and demographic, and extension and R&D. Al Jabri et al. (2013) concluded that e (4) ne power, boat length, fishing cost, fishing trip, difficulty in obtaining ice, average weekly catch, number of crew, and use of fiberglass boat are significant determinants of fishermen income. In addition, income sharing, board ownership, partnership in other boat and fishermen age have a significant relationship with fishermen income (Al Jabri et al., 2013). Further, exchange information and cooperation with MAF and being strongly involved with MAF also influence fishermen inc (2) e significantly. Rahman et al. (2011) examine the effect of age, education, family members, family land holdings, pond size, experience of fishing farming, training on fish farming and access to information on fish far (2) ng on fishermen income among fishermen in Bangladesh. Family land holdings, pond size, training on fish farming, and access to information on fish farming are significant factors affecting fishermen income.

There is lack of studies investigating fishermen income using Indonesian fishermen data (Hendrik & Zulkarnain, 2016). Most studies using Indonesian data focus on other aspects, such as fishermen's poverty (Darwis, Elfindri, Syafrizal, & Mahdi, 2015), socioeconomic characteristics of small-scale fishermen (Sudarmo et al., 2015), and fishermen management system (Tan, 2014). Even though, Hendrik and Zulkarnain (2016) conducted a study on fishermen income, the study emphasized fuel price fluctuation. Therefore, there is the need of a more comprehensive study to investigate the determinants of fishermen income in Indonesia's setting. This study would probably enrich fisheries economic literature due to the uniqueness of Indonesia' fisheries environments compared to other countries. For instance, there is no fishing

on (6) day and women are not allowed to participate.

This study aims to investigate the effect of fishing input and catching, socioeconomics and demographics, and exchange of information and involvement with government agents on fishermen income. This paper is organized as follow: the first session is about background of the study. The second is theoretical aspects. Further, the third session discusses methodology. The fourth session is about results and discussion. The study is finally closed by conclusion and recommendation.

Literature Review

Fishermen Income

Fishermen's income is an objec (5) of fisheries management system (Cunningham, 1994). Fishing management is characterized by multiple and conflicting objectives, multiple stakeholders with divergent interests and high levels of uncertainty about dynamics of the resources being managed (Smith, Sainsbury, & Stevens, 1999). Cunningham (1994) argues that it is hard to (3) derstand the determinants of fisheries income in the situation within the standard fishery economics model. Panayotou (1980) state (3) hat fishermen income depends on the opportunities income. Copes (1988) offered six reasons why opportunities income may be low in small-scale fisher (3). These are: (1) the isolation of fishing communities, (2) the existence of surplus labor due to productivities gains, (3) capital asset fixity, (4) lifestyle preferences, (5) high liner illusion, and (6) perverse assistance. Al Jabri et al., (2013) classified determinants of fishermen income: fishing input and catch, socioeconomics and demographics, and relationship with government agents.

Fishermen Input

Al Jabri et al.(2013) state that there are three categories of factors affecting fishermen's income: input factor, socioeconomic and demography and fishermen extension and R&D. Fishermen's input refers to the all fisheries economic resources used for fishing activity. This includes engine power, boat length, fishing cost, fishing trips, etc.(Al Jabri et al., 2013). Engine power is the power of an engine to push the boat to get to the fishing ground quickly. The more engine power, the more quickly a boat arrives at the fishing ground. Usually, fishermen who have more engine power, catch more fish and finally get more income., Boat length is a measure of capacity for fish caught. A greater length of boat, means fishermen have more space for stocking the fish. The artisanal fishermen failed to compete with the larger powered boats. Therefore, it may bring a lot of fish and finally more income. Gillnet length is the length of net used by fishermen. The longer the net, the more opportunities to catch fish and more income will be earned by fishermen.

Fishing cost refers to the money spent by fishermen to do fishing activities. With more cost incurred, fishermen can go far from coastal areas and have an opportunity to catch more fish and finally earn more income.. Further, fishing trips are defined as the number of setting and hauling activities. The more trips that fishermen do, the more production and thus, the more income. The next factor is the number of fishing crew. The higher the number of fishing crew, the faster hauling is done. This factor will increase fishing production and finally result in more income. Finally, all input will produce the output in terms of fishing production. Fishing production refers to the quantity of fish.

Fishermen Socioeconomic and Demographic

Fishermen socioeconomic and demographic variables are significant factors affecting fishermen income, such as income sharing with crews, age and partnership in other boat (Al Jabri et al., 2013). Al Jabri et al. (2013) identified several factors from socioeconomic and demographic: income sharing with crews, boat ownership, partnership in other boat, fishermen age, literacy level of fishermen, relationship with crew, and alternative sources of income. Boat ownership refers to the fishermen having their own boat to be used in fishing operation. Due to boat ownership, the fishing income will be distributed more to owner of boat. Therefore, the fishermen will earn more income. Fishing experience is defined as long tenure of fishermen engaging in fishing activities. With more experience, fishermen know a lot about fishing activities. This experience will help them to catch more fish and finally this will increase fishing production as well as fishermen income. Further, fishermen education is the level of education of fishermen. With level of education, they can plan, organize and control all aspects of fishing well. Most of the time, the higher the fishermen education, the higher the fishing production and therefore, increase of income. The relationship between fishing crew is defined as a family relationship. A fishing crew with good family relationship has more commitment to increase fishing production. Thus, fishermen income would

increase. Other fishermen income refers to other income earned by other family members beside fishing income. Family members help to earn additional income and this condition will increase fishermen income. A family member is defined as the number of family burden in one family. The higher the number of family burden, the higher the fishermen income. This is because they show more motivation to increase their income. They know that they have to cover all costs incurred in the family.

Exchange of Information and Participation

The relationship with a government agent, the last factor, is information exchange and participation in government agent activity. Exchange of information and cooperation with the government agent is useful for initiatives in order to get updated information regarding fishing matters. With updated information, fishermen are expected to experience an impact on fishermen income (Al Jabri et al., 2013). In conclusion, fishermen income could be explained as having a good relationship and open communication with extension services. In addition, discussion with government agent brings better knowledge of fishing areas, awareness of better tools and technology, information about financial schemes, and realising promising opportunities. These conditions would create the opportunities to have more fishing production and finally fishermen income.

Methodology

The object of this study is small-scale fishermen in Padang City. One hundred and fifty fishermen are included as sample of the study. Primary data used were gathered by doing a survey during February, 2018. There are 15 independent variables and one dependent variable, which is fishermen income measured by rupiah kilogram per week. The independent variables are grouped into 3 categories: inputs of fishing, socioeconomic and demographic, and relationship with government agent. Fishing input, and socioeconomic and demographics are ratio and ordinal variables.

Boat ownership (BO) is conceptualized as boats used in fishing activities that are neither owned by the fisherman himself nor owned by other parties. Fishermen education (FeD) is the level of formal education possessed by fishermen. Fishing experience (FE) is the duration of being a fisherman in units of years, while fishing crew (FC) is the crew of the boat involved in fishing activities whether they have family relationships or not.

In addition, the relationship with a government agent is 5-scale items. This study uses the multiple regression model using the SPSS. The relationship with government agent was firstly tested for validity and reliability. Multicollinearity test is conducted to see whether there is any relationship among the independent variables. F statistic is applied to see the model fitness. The t statistic or significant value is used to see the effect of independent variables on dependent variable.

Results and discussion

One hundred and fifty small-scale fishermen responded in this study. Based on location, 26 fishermen or 17.33 percent are from Bungus Taluak Kabungarea, and 17 fishermen or 11.33 percent are from Lubuk Begaluang. 27 fishermen or 18.00 percent are from Padang Selatan and 20 fishermen or 13.33 percent are from Padang Barat area. From area of Padang Utara and Koto Tengah are 9 and 51 fishermen respectively. The age of respondent is categorized as 18 to 30 years (20 fishermen or 13.33%), 31 to 40 years (36 fishermen or 24.00%), 41 to 50 years (36 fishermen or 40.00%), and more than 50 years old are about 60 fishermen or 40.00 percent. Further, all fishermen are male and 141 (94%) of 150 fishermen are married and the rest single. The detail of demographics data is shown in Table 1.

Variable of relationship with government agent is interval using 5-scale. Therefore, the validity and reliability test must be conducted before regression is run. The validity test is using the KMO and Bartlett test (Bartlett, 1950; Kaiser, 1970). The result shows that two variables represented the relationship with government agents: information exchange and participation in government agent. Exchange information consists of three items and all items are valid with KMO value of .654 (greater than .5) (Hair, William, Babin, & Anderson, 2014). Significant value of Bartlett test is .00 and less than .01. Loading factor is also greater than .5. In addition, test of reliability is using the Cronbach Alpha (Cronbach, 1951) and the value must be greater than .7. The result shows that the variable is reliable. The mean value of information exchange is 4.033 (higher). The second variable of relationship with government agent is involvement. The validity test also shows that the variable is valid because of KMO and Bartlett test is satisfied. Further, the reliability test also indicates that the variable is reliable due to the value of Cronbach Alpha greater than .7 (Nunnally, 1978). Finally, the mean value of participation in government agent is higher.

This study uses the multivariate analysis and the model must be free from the multicollinearity problem (Sekaran, 2003). Tolerance and VIF are applied to see whether there is a multicollinearity problem. The multicollinearity problem does not exist if the tolerance value is greater than 1 and VIF value must be less than 10 (Gujarati, 1995). The result shows that there is no multicollinearity problem. Besides, this study also uses the Pearson correlation to support the conclusion that there is no multicollinearity problem (see Table 3 and 4). The next

classical assumption is heteroscedasticity. The heteroscedasticity exists when unequal variance is present and it is one of the most classical assumptions (Hair et al., 2014). This problem can be identified using White test (White, 1980). In addition, Wooldridge (2003) recommended that heteroscedasticity corrected regression can be used if heteroscedasticity is identified. The result shows that there is a heteroscedasticity problem (p value = .00007). Therefore, this study applies the heteroscedasticity corrected regression for the final result (see Table 5).

The regression result is demonstrated in table 5. The multivariate model is feasible because statistic is 36.337 with p value of .00. In addition, the ability of independent variables explains the dependent variables 82.39 percent and the rest is explained by other variables. The first independent variable is engine power (EP). The effect of engine power on the fishermen income is positively significant due to the p value of this variable being .0004, which is less than .05. Therefore, it indicates that the higher the engine power, the higher the fishermen income.

The second variable does not have a significant effect on fishermen income. Boat length (BL) has p value higher than .10 (.332). The possible explanation why boat length does not have a significant effect on fishermen income is that most boats are not in good condition. In fact, some of them are old. Therefore, it is difficult for fishermen to go far from the seashore. In addition, the third variable (Gillnet length) has lower p value (.006), which means that there is a significant effect of gillnet length (GL) and fishermen income. However, the signal effect is negative which means the longer the gillnet length, the lower the fishermen income. It is difficult to explain why gillnet length has a negatively significant impact on fishermen income, but it may be related to the condition of the gillnet. The most of fishermen have torn and tangled gillnets.

Further, fishing cost (FC) has a positively significant impact on fishermen income. Fishermen who spend more money on fishing activity, earn more income. Fishing cost consists of direct cost and non-direct cost. However, fishing trips (FT) do not have a significant relationship with fishermen income. Fishing production (FP) has a positive relationship with fishermen income. p value of this variable is .0001, which is much less than 10 percent. This finding indicates that fishermen who can catch more fish will gain more income. There is a marketing skill of fishermen here and thus they can market their produce well. Finally, they gain more income. In contrast, boat crew do not have a significant effect on fishermen income due to higher p value of this variable (.343).

Table 1 Demographic Data

No	Demography Data	Categories	Number	%
1	Location	Bungus Taluak Kabung	26	17.33
		Lubuk Begaluang	17	11.33
		Padang selatan	27	18.00
		Padang barat	20	13.33
		Padang Utara	9	6.00
		Koto Tengah	51	34.00
2	Age	18 sd 30	20	13.33
		31 sd 40	36	24.00
		41 sd 50	36	24.00
		> 50	60	40.00
			150	100.00
3	Gender	Male	150	100.00
		Female	0	0.00
4	Married Status	Married	141	94.00
		Single	9	6.00

Table 2 Validity, Reliability and Means Value of Variables

Variable	Item	valid	KMO	Sig Barlett	Loading Factor	CA	Means
Exchange information	3	3	.654	.000	.753 to .903	.795	4.033
Involvement	3	3	.638	.000	.782 to .885	.746	4.058

Table 3 Result of Multicollinearity

Variable	Tolerance	VIF
Engine Power (EP)	.353	2.831
Boat Length (BL)	.433	2.312
Net Length (GL)	.497	2.013
Fishing Cost (FC)	.567	1.763
Fishing Trip (FT)	.856	1.169
Fishing Production (FP)	.350	2.859
Boat Crew (BC)	.314	3.188
Boat Ownership (BO)	.448	2.231
Fishing Experience (FE)	.674	1.483
Fishermen Education (FeD)	.893	1.120
Relationship with Fishing Crew (RFC)	.774	1.292
Other Fishermen Income (OFI)	.733	1.364
Family Members (FM)	.751	1.332
Exchange Information (EI)	.553	1.808
Participation with Government Agent (PGA)	.662	1.510

Table 4 Correlation Matrix of Independent Variables

	EP	BL	GL	FC	FT	FP	BC	BO	FE	FeD	RFC	OFI	FM	EI	PGA
EP	1														
BL	.715**	1													
GL	.588**	.465**	1												
FC	.501**	.439**	.289**	1											
FT	.035	-.109	.074	-.120	1										
FP	.203*	.019	.526**	0.92	.371**	1									
BC	.182*	.091	.374**	.165*	.182*	.637**	1								
BO	.031	.054	-.085	.120	.029	.013	.097	1							
FE	-.059	-.146	-.164*	-.065	.024	-.159	-.118	.068	1						
FeD	-.022	-.017	.002	-.045	-.020	.039	.084	.020	-.194*	1					
RFC	-.129	-.053	-.081	-.196*	-.170*	-.203*	-.064	-.064	-.205*	.226**	1				
OFI	.122	.001	.238**	.044	.179*	.565**	.424**	.017	-.045	-.068	-.209*	1			
FM	.062	.002	.007	.124	.114	.108	.000	-.043	.384**	-.171*	-.192*	.87	1		
EI	.055	-.021	.196*	-.260*	.161*	.424**	.213**	-.045	-.180*	.006	.020	.192*	-.100	1	
PGA	.003	.070	-.028	-.223**	.029	-.090	-.135	-.092	-.108	.054	.131	-.065	.109	.402**	1

Note: ** Correlation is significant at the .01 level (2-tailed)
 * Correlation is significant at the .05 level (2-tailed)

Table 5 Results of Multiple Regressions

Variables	Coef Reg	t stat	p	Conclusion
constant	-985722	-2.400	.0178**	Significant
Engine Power (EP)	15645.300	3.665	.0004***	Significant
Boat Length (BL)	8934.920	.975	.332	Not-significant
Net Length (GL)	-132.822	-2.798	.0059***	Significant
Fishing Cost (FC)	.192	4.635	.0001***	Significant
Fishing Trip (FT)	3694.910	.259	.796	Not-significant
Fishing Production (FP)	4048.530	7.954	.0001***	Significant
Boat Crew (BC)	58788.200	.953	.343	Not-significant
Boat Ownership (BO)	243549.000	4.343	.0001***	Significant
Fishing Experience (FE)	-1649.340	-1.337	.183	Not-significant
Fishermen Education (FeD)	21180.600	3.653	0.0004***	Significant
Relationship with Fishing Crew (RFC)	-8079.260	-.334	.739	Not-significant
Other Fishermen Income (OFI)	.000	.000	1.000	Not-significant
Family Members (FM)	31896.190	1.396	.168	Not-significant
Exchange Information (EI)	48768.600	1.492	.138	Not-significant
Participation with Gov. Agent (PGA)	22275.700	1.576	.118	Not-significant
Fstat (F sig)			36.337	
R square			.8239	
Durbin Watson			1.893	

Note: * p < .01, ** p < .05, *** p < .01.

Boat ownership (BO) has a positively significant relationship with fishermen income (p value of .036). Fishermen who own boat tend to increase their income. However, fishermen experience (FE) does not influence the fishermen income. In addition, fishermen education (FeD) has a positively significant relationship with fishermen income. The fishermen with higher education level tend to gain more income. Other variables; Relationship with fishing crews (RFC), other fishermen income (OFI), family members (FM), exchange information (EI) and participation with government agent (PGA), do not have a significant effect on fishermen income. There are three group variables in this study; fishing input, socioeconomic and demography, and relationship with government agent. Significant variables are engine power (EP), fishing cost (FC), fishing production (FP), boat ownership (BO), and fishermen education.

Engine power has a positive significant effect on fishermen income. This finding is aligned with findings of Al Jabri et al. (2013) who also found a positive effect of engine power on fishermen income. The significant variable is fishing cost and it is also supported by Al Jabri et al. (2013). Al Jabri et al. (2013) found a negative relationship with fishermen income. However, this study shows a positive relationship. Fishing production also has a positive relationship with fishermen income and implies that fishermen in Padang city are able to do marketing management. Therefore, it positively contributes to fishermen income. From socioeconomics and demographics, only boat ownership and education have a significant effect on fishermen income. Boat ownership has a positive relationship with fishermen income but this finding is not supported by previous research (Al Jabri et al., 2013). In contrast to findings of Al Jabri et al. (2013), fishermen education has a positive relationship with fishermen income. Furthermore, the result of the study revealed R^2 .8239 meaning that the variances of fishermen income are explained by the 15 independent variables 82.39 percent.

Conclusion and Policy Recommendation

The study on fishing input, socioeconomics, demography, and relationship with government agent and their effect on fishermen income in Padang was carried out. Some conclusions that can be drawn are that fishing production (FP) registered as the highest contribution on fishermen income, followed by fishing costs (FC), boat owner (BO), engine power (EP), fishermen education (FeD), and gillnet length (GL) respectively. In addition, the variances of fishermen income are shown as 82.39 percent by the 15 independent variables.

Policy recommendation could be addressed to government agencies. In order to increase the income of fishermen in Padang in future, it is recommended to improve the aids of boat, engine, fishing training, as well as fishing operational costs.

Conflict of Interest

There is no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

The author expresses his gratitude for the financial assistance provided by Universitas Bung Hatta through the acceleration program of the professor with contract number 205.1-705.4.001.01.001, 3rd November 2017.

References

- Adili, Z., & Antonia, M. (2017). Determinants influencing fishing income to the coastal households of Indian Ocean. *Oceanography & Fisheries*, 4(3), 1–6. doi: 10.19080/OFOAJ.2017.04.555640
- Al Jabri, O., Collins, R., Sun, X., Omezzine, A., & Belwal, R. (2013). Determinants of small-scale fishermen's income on Oman's Batinah Coast. *Marine Fisheries Review*, 75(3), 21–32. doi: 10.7755/MFR.75.3.3
- Bartlett, M. S. (1950). Tests of significance in factor analysis. *British Journal of Statistical Psychology*, 3, 77–85.
- Copes, P. (1988). *Why are fishing incomes often low? A critical review of the conventional wisdom*. Burnaby, Canada: Institute of Fisheries Analysis, Simon Fraser University.
- Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. *Psychometrika*, 16(3), 297–334.
- Cunningham, S. (1994). Fishermen's incomes and fisheries management. *Marine Resource Economics*, 9, 241–252.
- Darwis, Elfindri, Syafrizal, & Mahdi. (2015). Livelihood assets affecting the success of fisherman's households moving out of poverty. *International Journal of Research in Social Sciences*, 5(3), 33–42.
- Gujarati, D. (1995). *Basic econometric*. Singapore: McGraw-Hill.
- Hair, J. F., William, C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2014). *Multivariate data analysis* (7th ed.). Harlow, UK: Pearson Education.
- Hendrik, & Zulkamain. (2016). The effect of fuel price fluctuations on fishermen income in the west coast waters of Sumatra, Indonesia. *International Journal of Research in Social Sciences*, 11(1), 25–36.
- Kaiser, H. F. (1970). A second generation little jiffy. *Psychometrika*, 35(4), 401–415. Retrieved from <http://www.springerlink.com/index/4175806177113668.pdf>
- Kittinger, J. N. (2013). Human dimensions of small-scale and traditional fisheries in the Asia-Pacific Region. *Pacific Science*, 67(3), 315–325. doi: 10.2984/67.3.1
- Nunnally, J. C. (1978). *Psychometric Theory* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- Panayotou, T. (1980). Economic conditions and prospects of small-scale fishermen in Thailand. *Marine Policy*, 4(2), 142–146.
- Rahman, S. M. A., Haque, A., & Rahman, S. M. A. (2011). Impact of fish farming on household income: A case study from Mymensingh District. *Journal of Social Sciences*, 7(2), 127–131.
- Sekaran, U. (2003). *Research methods for business - A skill building approach* (4th ed). New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons. doi: 10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004
- Smith, A. D. M., Sainsbury, K. J., & Stevens, R. A. (1999). Implementing effective fisheries-management systems – Management strategy evaluation and the Australian partnership approach. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 56(6), 967–979. doi: 10.1006/jmsc.1999.0540
- Sudarmo, A. P., Baskoro, M. S., Wiryawan, B., Wiyono, E. S., & Monintja, D. R. (2015). Social economics characteristics of coastal small-scale fisheries in Tegal City, Indonesia. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 4(01), 85–88.
- Tan, F. (2014). Fishermen economic development management systems in the South Coastal District of West Sumatra Indonesia. *Proceedings of SOCIOINT14: International Conference on Social Sciences and Humanities* (pp. 196–208), Istanbul, Turkey.
- White, H. (1980). A heteroscedasticity consistent covariance matrix estimator and a direct test for heteroscedasticity. *Econometrica*, 48(4), 817–838.
- Wooldridge, J. M. (2003). *Introductory econometrics: A modern approach* (2nd ed.). Mason, OH: Thomson South Western.

ORIGINALITY REPORT

17%

SIMILARITY INDEX

14%

INTERNET SOURCES

7%

PUBLICATIONS

5%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	so04.tci-thaijo.org Internet Source	8%
2	businessdocbox.com Internet Source	1%
3	CUNNINGHAM, STEPHEN. "Fishermen's Incomes and Fisheries Management", Marine Resource Economics, 1994. Publication	1%
4	Submitted to University Der Es Salaam Student Paper	1%
5	www.dcbd.nl Internet Source	1%
6	rjoas.com Internet Source	1%
7	d.lib.msu.edu Internet Source	1%
8	Omar Al Jabri, Ray Collins, Ximing Sun, Abdallah Omezzine, Rakesh Belwal. "Determinants of Small-scale Fishermen's Income on Oman's Batinah Coast", Marine Fisheries Review, 2013 Publication	1%

9	mafiadoc.com Internet Source	<1 %
10	repository.unwidha.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
11	Martinson Ankrah Twumasi, Yuansheng Jiang, Prince Fosu, Bismark Addai, Charles Hackman Kwamena Essel. "The impact of credit constraint on artisanal fishers' technical efficiency: Stochastic frontier and instrumental variable approach", Regional Studies in Marine Science, 2021 Publication	<1 %
12	Submitted to The University of the South Pacific Student Paper	<1 %
13	Submitted to University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Student Paper	<1 %
14	core.ac.uk Internet Source	<1 %
15	erepo.unud.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
16	fbf.utar.edu.my Internet Source	<1 %
17	ar.scribd.com Internet Source	<1 %
18	majcafe.com Internet Source	<1 %

<1 %

19 researcharchive.lincoln.ac.nz
Internet Source

<1 %

20 sportdocbox.com
Internet Source

<1 %

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On