

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION AND THE SUGGESTION

5.1 Conclusion

This is the last chapter with the results of the discussion and analysis in previous chapter used the positive politeness strategy based on Brown and Levinson in the first presidential debate between Donald trump and Joe Biden. The writer found 8 strategies from 15 positive politeness strategies, and found seventeen dialogue from that eight strategies found. they are 1) Exaggerate (interest, approval, sympathy with H), there are 2 dialogue found in this strategy, 2) Intensify interest to the hearer, there are 1 dialogue found in this strategy, 3) Seek agreement, there are 2 dialogue found in this strategy, 4) Avoid disagreement, only 1 dialogue found in this strategy, 5) Offer, promise, 2 dialogue found in this strategy, 6) Be optimistic, 2 dialogue found in this strategy, 7) Include both the speaker and the hearer in the activity, 3 dialogue found in this strategy, 8) Give(or ask for) reason, 4 dialogue found in this strategy.

Based on the analysis of the factor influencing used the positive politeness strategies in the first presidential debate between Donald trump and Joe Biden, that found there are two factors, namely payoff and relevant circumstance (social distance, relative power, and imposition). the first is payoff, by applying positive politeness strategies the speaker can get some advantages and fulfilled the hearer wants. the speaker can minimize the FTA by convincing the hearer that the speaker considers himself to be the same kind. Another possible payoff is that the speaker can avoid or minimize the debt implication of FTA such as request and offer. Thus, this factor found in 6 data.

The second factor is relative circumstances (relative power, social distances, absolute ranking of imposition) In this research, the writer found eleven dialogues that are influenced by relevant circumstances

5.2 Suggestion

The writer believes that this research is interest to analyze. In this research, the writer just analyzed and focused on one types of politeness strategies, is positive politeness strategies in the first presidential debate between Donald trump and Joe Biden. The writer give some suggestions to make this research more be better. but at least, from this research the writer can give some inspiration to the next researcher to make more complete about positive politeness, and the writer also hopes this research will be continued in other case of positive politeness will be analyzed by another researcher. Finally, from this research will make another researcher to interest and can be more observe about politeness especially about positive politeness. And also this research will be useful for next researcher to find all the strategies of politeness there are Bald on record, Negative politeness and Bald off record and can be some of references for those people who are concerned for further research.

REFERENCES

- Aasi, F. S., Imtiaz, A., & Shahzad, A. K. (2023). Politeness in the Classrooms of the Visually Impaired Students: A Case Study. *Global Educational Studies Review*, VIII(II), 64-78.
- Batubara, M. H., Rahila, C. D. I., & Fitri, H. (2022). An Analysis Of Politeness Strategies Used By Donald Trump And Hillary Clinton Presidential Debate 2016. *Journal of Linguistics, Literature, and Language Teaching (JLLLT)*, 1(2), 51-58.
- Brown, P. & Levinson, S.C. (1987). *Politeness: Some Universals in Language Usage*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Brown, G. & Yule, G. (1995). *Communication: Explorations in Discourse Analysis*. Cambridge, CUP.
- Bogdan & Biklen, S. (1992): *Qualitative Research For Education*. Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon
- Cutting, J. (2005). *Pragmatics and discourse: A resource book for students*. London: Routledge.
- Holmes, J. (2013). *An introduction to sociolinguistics*. New York : Routledge.
- Leech, G. N. (1983). *Principles of Pragmatics*. London: Longman.
- Mey, J. L. (2001). *Pragmatics: an introduction*. Cambridge:Blackwell Publishers Inc
- Moleong, L. J. (2001). *Metode Penelitian Kualitatif*. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya (anggota IKAPI).
- Perreault, W. D., & McCarthy, E. J. (2006). *Essentials of marketing: a global-managerial approach*. London : Mcgraw-Hill College.
- Sinaga, Y. K., Sinurat, B., Saragih, D. Y., & Saputra, N. (2022). An Analysis of Positive and Negative Politeness Strategy in Ome TV by Fiki Naki on Youtube. *LingLit Journal Scientific Journal for Linguistics and Literature*, 3(2), 93-102.

- Siburian, A. (2016). An analysis of politeness strategy in Soimah Talkshow in Trans tv. *Episteme Journal of linguistics and Literature*, 2(3), 2016.
- Selfia, M., & Marlina, L. (2016). An analysis of politeness strategies used by Deddy Corbuzier in Hitam Putih Talk show. *English Language and Literature*, 5(1).
- Wahyudiantari, N. W. P. (2022). An Analysis Of Politeness Strategies Used By Fifth Semester Students At Undikma. *JISIP (Jurnal Ilmu Sosial dan Pendidikan)*, 6(3).
- Warouw, L. F., Samola, N., & Sanger, M. (2023). An analysis of positive politeness strategy in Moana. *JoTELL: Journal of Teaching English, Linguistics, and Literature*, 2(2), 184-204.
- Yanti, Y. (2015). Tindak Tutur Direktif dan Ekspresif Presiden Jokowi. *Konferensi Linguistik Tahunan Atma Jaya* 13, 8-9.