

The Asian EFL Journal

June 2020

Volume 27, Issue 3.3



**Senior Editor:
Paul Robertson**



Published by the English Language Education Publishing

Asian EFL Journal
A Division of TESOL Asia Group
Part of SITE Ltd Australia

<http://www.asian-efl-journal.com>

©Asian EFL Journal 2020

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of the Asian EFL Journal Press.

No unauthorized photocopying

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the Asian EFL Journal.

editor@asian-efl-journal.com

Publisher: English Language Education (ELE) Publishing

Chief Editor: Dr. Paul Robertson

Associate Production Editor: Ramon Medriano Jr.

Assistant Copy Editor: Eva Guzman

ISSN 1738-1460



Table of Contents

Mac Aditiawarman, Diana Kartika, Andika Prajana, Yuhendra, Ali Mardius and Rahmat Fauzi	4
<i>Japanese Language Conjunction and Indonesian Language Conjunction: Review of Contrastive Analysis as Seen from the Use and Teaching Method</i>	
Mohammad Shariq	13
<i>Difficulties Facing Saudi EFL Learners with Translation: Contrastive and Error Analysis</i>	
Ambo Dalle, Johar Amir and Wahyu Kurniati Asri	28
<i>Improving Student's Writing Skill using Serial Images as Learning Media</i>	
Selvi Panggua, Nur Fitri and Sushy Teko Patanduk	37
<i>Need Analysis: Developing Speaking Skills Training Materials for High School EFL Teacher in Indonesia</i>	
Maya Masita, Muhammad Basri and Andi Qashas Rahman	51
<i>Globalizing Primary Curriculum in Indonesia</i>	
Jamiludin, Darnawati and Waode Ade Sarasmita Uke	69
<i>Students' Perception Toward the Flipped Classroom Model at History Education Department of Halu Oleo University</i>	
Siti Azisah	95
<i>Gender roles Depiction in English textbooks of an Islamic Junior high school in South Sulawesi, Indonesia</i>	
Arif Ahmed Mohammed Hassan Al-Ahdal and Shatha Ahmed Abdulaziz Alkhalaf	110
<i>Podcasting in the Teaching of Speech Communication: Exploring New Vistas in a Saudi EFL Context</i>	
Nareeman Jabbar Rasheed	131
<i>English Language Classroom Conversation among Iraqi EFL Students: A Pragmatic Analysis</i>	
Rasib Mahmood, Akhter Habib Shah and Tribhuwan Kumar	150
<i>English Language Learning and its Socio-cultural Effects: A Comparative Study of Private and Government Schools of Islamabad</i>	
Muliaty Ibrahim and Sunarlia Limbong	165
<i>Strategies in Learning English as a Foreign Language Applied by the Students of SMA Negeri 1 Makassar</i>	
Roderick Julian Robillos and Patchara Phantharakphong	181
<i>Enhancing EFL Learners' Argumentative Abilities in Written Composition and Critical Thinking Dispositions through Argument Mapping Within Metacognitive Approach</i>	
Lalu Suhirman	209
<i>Lesson Study - A Japanese Instructional Approach: Empowering Student – Centered Learning</i>	
Yang Lan	230
<i>Willingness to Communicate in English Language Learning among Chinese Doctoral Students</i>	



**Japanese Language Conjunction and Indonesian Language Conjunction:
Review of Contrastive Analysis as Seen from the Use and Teaching Method**

¹Mac Aditiawarman, ^{2*}Diana Kartika, ³Andika Prajana,
⁴Yuhendra, ⁵Ali Mardius, ⁶Rahmat Fauzi

Bio-profiles:

Mac Aditiawarman is an Assoc. Professor at Faculty of Literature, Ekasakti University in Padang, West Sumatera. His research interests is Linguistics with focus on Sociolinguistics. He has a Dr. degree from Padjadjaran University in Bandung, West Java. He can be reached at mac_aditiawarman@yahoo.com

Diana Kartika is Professor at Faculty of Humanities, Bung Hatta University in Padang, West Sumatera, Indonesia. Her research interests include foreign language anxiety, L2 motivation, and teachers' classroom behaviour. She has a Dr. degree in language teaching from State University of Jakarta. She can be reached at dianakartika@bunghatta.ac.id

Andika Prajana is a senior lecturer at Faculty Of Science and Technology, Universitas Islam Negeri Ar-Raniry Banda Aceh, Indonesia. His research interest in Information Technology, Social Science and Education. He has master degree from UPI “YPTK” Padang, West Sumatera. He can be reached at andikaprajana@ar-raniry.ac.id

Yuhendra is an assistant professor at Sekolah Tinggi Bahasa Asing Haji Agus Salim in Bukittinggi, Indonesia. His master was obtained from IHS, Erasmus University, the Netherlands. His research area covers cross cultural communication, social's communication behavior and literature. He can be contacted at yuhendra28@gmail.com

Ali Mardius is one of the lecturers in the faculty of education science, Bung Hatta University, West Sumatra. His research interests are in physical education and sports. He has a master

degree from Padang State University in the city of Padang, West Sumatra. He can be contacted at alimardius@bunghatta.ac.id

Rahmat Fauzi is registered as a lecturer at Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Hukum Putri Maharaja in Payakumbuh, Indonesia. His research area covers Islamic law, criminology, and application of law in Islamic court. His contact email is: rahmatfauzi24oke@gmail.com

Abstract

Language is the most important part in meeting socially and getting together to wherever and whenever you live. Language becomes very important because without language human can not interact and understand one to another culture. Nowadays Japanese language becomes one of foreign languages which is preferred by most Indonesia people either high school and university students or anyone who is interested in learning Japanese. Furthermore, Japanese language is learned as linguistics which is used to study in Japan or as introductory language at Japanese corporate outside their own country. As mentioned in the introductory chapter, the purpose of this research is to find out the form of conjunctions in Indonesian, the form of conjunctions in Japanese and what are the differences and similarities between forms of conjunctions between the two languages. The research method used by the writer is descriptive analysis method. The author uses research sources derived from written works such as books, theses, journals and the internet. First of all the writer will gather theories and select them according to their level of relevance to the topic under study. Furthermore, the writer will describe and analyse conjunctions contained in Indonesian and Japanese sentences. After being analysed, the writer found 4 conjunctions in Japanese, namely; “soshite”, “kara”, “demo” and “desukara”. Whereas in Indonesian the writer found; “dan”, “tetapi”, “oleh karena”, “biarpun”.

Keyword: *Japanese Language Conjunction, Indonesian Language Conjunction, Contrastive Analysis.*

Introduction

Human as social creature needs the means to interact among others. In this case, language is the means of interaction that help people to set up communication. When we communicate to others, we use spoken or written language to express ideas, thought, or desire. In spoken language, an idea, thought and desire is expressed directly by the way of pronouncing or release of breathing air while in written form they are represented in writing system that requires both reading and writing skills. Moreover, language is the most important part in meeting socially

and getting together to wherever and whenever people live. Language becomes very important because without language human can not interact and understand across culture. On the other hand, having cross cultural understanding plays the same important role to succeed the communication (Yuhendra & Albert, 2018).

The same principle goes to Japanese language. As a mean of language, nowadays, Japanese language becomes one of preferred foreign languages by many Indonesia people. Students from high school to university or anyone from non formal institutions are showing their interest to learn Japanese. Furthermore, Japanese language is also learned as linguistics which is used to study in Japan or as introductory language at Japanese corporate outside their country. One easy way to understand the Japanese' thought is by communicating with their own language. The fact shows that it is easy for Japanese language learners to understand the utterances of that language because there are so many expressions used to express the same condition.

Japanese grammatical concept is greatly different from Indonesian language. For instance, the Japanese sentence structure use the patterns Subject (S), Object (O), Predicate (P) which are shortened as SOP, while the Indonesian language sentence structure use the patterns Subject (S), Predicate (P), Object (O), which are shortened as SPO. Besides, Japanese language also undergoes the verb change that can not be found in Indonesian language. For that reason, it is essential to understand the rules or norms in Japanese language and to learn it patiently. They are used to produce communicative language.

Like other foreign languages, the best way to build successful communication in Japanese language is understanding its culture as well. The learners should also be aware of the socio-cultural norms about that language, and this requires the learners to be exposed to authentic settings where that language is used (Kartika, 2019). Also, in teaching Japanese language, particularly the usage of conjunction, new learning management system can be applied.

(Prajana, 2017) states that Learning Management System with Information Technology has power to digitalize the content and the system of traditional learning system. In Learning Management System that consists of colaborative study in which the students have more critical paradigm and being rational affects their cooperative sensitivity in learning. On the other hand, colaborative study has its own obstacles like: lack of direct meeting in class room, so that additional learning is needed, hence timing efficiency and effectiveness in learning is also required. Method and strategy in overcoming the weakness of this colaborative study needs the combination of information technology usage and direct class meeting process on the other words Blended Learning way.

Literature Review

According to (Alwi, Dardjowidjojo, Lapoliwa, & Moeliono, 2003) "Conjunction is a particle used to connect words with words, phrases with phrases, clauses with clauses, sentences with sentences or paragraphs with paragraphs". Related to (Alwi et al., 2003) explain that conjunctions are equivalent language units: words with words, phrases with phrases, clauses with clauses).

(Coghill & Magedanz, 2003) say that judging from the syntactic behavior in the sentence, conjunctions are divided into five groups: 1) coordinating conjunctions, 2) subordinative conjunctions, 3) correlative conjunctions, 4) a, conjunctions interalimatis (Alwi et al., 2003). The conjunctions according to Keraf (1991) "Words that connect words, parts of speech, or connect sentences)".

Example:

- 1) *Kami tidak pergi ketaman karena terlalu sibuk.*
- 2) *Karena ada kecelakaan mobil, jalan raya jadi ramai.*

The conjunction "*karena*" (Conrad, Biber, & Leech, 2003) in the example sentence (1) connects two clauses that have a causal meaning relationship. Similarly, the example sentence (2). Even if the conjunction "*karena*" is placed at the beginning of the sentence, the clause before and after the comma (,) punctuation means cause and effect.

(Tomita, 1993) says that in Japanese there is a conjunction called *setsuzokushi*. Tomita (1993) argues that there are seven types of *setsuzokushi* functions, namely: 1) To express an equivalent relationship to the previous sentence use *soshite/soushite, sorekara, soreni, shikamo, eyes, and oyobi*. 2) To state the reason of the previous sentence using *desukara / dakara, sorede, sokode, shikagatte, and suruto*. 3) To express contradiction from the previous sentence using *shikashi, keredomo, demo, daga, and tokoroga*. 4) To state the choice of the previous sentence using *soretomo, matawa, and aruiwa*. 5) To state the explanation or addition of the previous sentence using *tatoeba, sunawara, tsumari, nazenara, and tadashi*. 6) To start the conversation topic using *tokorodeand satay*. 7) When faced with the interlocutor like "then" use *soredewa/god), sodeshitara/soshitara), and sorenara*.

(Teng & Taveras, 2004) states that blended learning is an evolution of hybrid learning by combining direct class meeting with online learning asynchronously which is later on transform become innovative learning using video streaming, podcast, chat, online discussion asynchronously. In learning emotional intelligent is formed. Supporting that statement, (Prajana & Astuti, 2020) explain that in revolution industri 4.0 era, both teacher and students are

demanding to be more accustomed in using information technology to create creative, active and independent teacher and students.

(Astuti, Y., Prajana, A., Damrah, Erianti, 2019) propose that emotional intelligent is a part of social intelligent involving ability to monitor many feelings for ourselves and others and to use information to direct thoughts and behavior.

In addition, the ability of teachers to improve students motivation plays important role. Gender differences may influence the role of motivation in influencing students' achievement in learning English as a foreign language. Previous studies have claimed that girls are better than boys at mastering a foreign language but the present study has shown that motivation is more influential for boys than girls in learning a language (Hasan, 2018).

Methodology

This research is to find out the theories of Indonesian conjunction and Japanese conjunction. The methodology research to be used is the descriptive method. The writer uses the source of research such as book, theses, journal and internet. First, the writer will collect the theories and then select them dealing with the relevance of the theory with the topic. Next, the writer will describe and analyze the conjunctions in Japanese sentences and Indonesia sentences. The data of this research will be analyzed by using contrastive analysis to find the sameness and the differences both of the languages in related to the kinds and functions.

Result and Finding

The differences and the sameness of Japanese conjunction and Indonesian conjunction. Conjunction “Soshite”, Conjunction “*dan*” (and)

Japanese Conjunction	Indonesian Conjunction
conjunction “Soshite”	conjunction “ <i>dan</i> ” (and)
kono hon wa atarashii desu. Soshite yasui desu => <i>buku ini baru dan murah.</i>	<i>Diana dan Aulia pergi ke kampus bersama.</i> (Diana and Aulia went to campus together)

Conjunction **Soshite** has the same meaning as “*dan*”. Use : explain sentences with equal meaning. Meanwhile, conjunction “*dan*” in Indonesia is additional coordinative conjunction.

Conjunction “Sore kara”, conjunction “*Setelah itu/kemudian, setelah*”. (after, after that)

Japenese Conjunction	Indonesian Conjunction
Conjunction “Sore kara”	Conjunction “ <i>Setelah itu /kemudian/ setelah</i> ” (after, then, after that)
terebi wo mimasu. <i>Sore kara</i> nihongo wa benkyu shimasu. <i>Saya akan menonton televisi. Setelah itu akan belajar bahasa Jepang.</i>	<i>Ani akan mencuci baju , setelah itu pergi ke pasar.</i> (After Ani washes the clothes, she goes to the market)

Conjunction “Sore kara” explains the first sentence action, then followed by the second one. While the conjunction “Setelah itu/kemudian, setelah” explains subordinate conjunction stating time, precisely sequence of time. From both of the examples **The conjunction “Sore kara”, and conjunction “Setelah itu/kemudian, setelah” have the sameness** that is doing the first action, then followed by the second one. The conjunction is the explanation of both of them.

Conjunction “Demo”, Conjunction “*Tetapi*” (but)

Japenese Conjunction	Indonesian Conjunction
Conjunction “Demo”	Conjunction “ <i>tetapi</i> ” (but)
yama ninoboru watanoshikatta. <i>Demo</i> , tsukaremashita. <i>Naik gunungnya menyenangkan, tapi melelahkan.</i>	<i>Proyek renovasi jalan tol tersebut tidak hanya menghabiskan dana tetapi juga mengganggu aktivitas pengguna jalan.</i> (The renovation project of the high way not only spent fund but also disturbed the activities of the users)

Conjunction “Demo” is used for not parallel sentence or contradict sentence. The conjunction “*tetapi*” is contradict coordinative conjunction . **Both of the sentences have the contradict meanings.**

Conjunction “Desu Kara”, Conjunction “*oleh karena, karena, sebab*”

Japanese Conjunction	Indonesian Conjunction
Conjunction “Desu Kara”	Conjunction “karena” (because)
Kinou wa sangyou deshita. <u>Desu, kara</u> ichinichijuu yasimitai desu. <i>Kemarin saya lembur kerja. Oleh karena itu, saya ingin istirahat seharian.</i>	<i>Susi mendapatkan nilai E pada mata kuliah Bahasa Jepang karena dia sering meninggalkan kelas.</i> (Susi got mark ‘E’ on Japanese because she often missed the class).

Conjunction “Desu Kara” is used to state reason of the previous action. While the conjunction “karena” is subordinate conjunction explaining the cause. **The conjunction stating the cause can be found when subordinate clause explains cause or reason thing happened which is mentioned in main clause.**

Conjunction “Noni”, Conjunction “*biarpun, sungguhpun, sekalipun, demikian, walaupun demikian.* (although, though, however, nevertheless)

Japanese Conjunction	Indonesian Conjunction
Conjunction “Noni”	Conjunction “ <i>meskipun</i> ”
nichiyoubi na no ni , kaisha e ikimasu. <i>Meskipun hari minggu, tetap pergi ke kantor.</i>	<i>Meskipun</i> Dona berasal dari keluarga kaya, dia tidak pernah sombong. (Although Dona derives from rich family, she is never arrogant).

Conjunction “Noni” is used for the second action against the first action. While conjunction “Meskipun” is concessive conjunction which is found in compound sentence which subordinate clause states thing can change whatever is stated by the main clause.

Conclusions

Learning management system by using information technology could be applied in teaching conjunction of Japanese language. Blended learning allows teachers to be more creative in creating approach to the students, hence direct meeting in class could be elaborated by online meetings. Teachers should be able to improve students motivation.

After conducting the research about Japanese Language Conjunction and Indonesian Language Conjunction: Review of Contrastive Analysis as Seen From the Use and Teaching Method, the writers found that there are five contrastive conjunction at both languages, they are:

1. Conjunction “Soshite”, Conjunction “*dan*” (and),
2. Conjunction “Sore kara”, conjunction “*Setelah itu/kemudian, setelah*”. (after, after that),
3. Conjunction “Demo”, Conjunction “*Tetapi*” (but),
4. Conjunction “Desu Kara”, Conjunction “*olehkarena, karena, sebab*”,
5. Conjunction “Noni”, Conjunction “*biarpun, sungguhpun, sekalipun, demikian, walaupun demikian*”. (although, though, however, nevertheless)

The research has found out the form of conjunctions in Indonesian, the form of conjunctions in Japanese and what are the differences and similarities between forms of conjunctions in Indonesian and Japanese.

The writers use research as the source of written works such as books, theses, journals and the internet.

Furthermore, the writer will describe and analyse conjunctions contained in Indonesian and Japanese sentences.

Pedagogical Implication

The writer found 4 conjunctions in Japanese, namely; "Soshite", "kara", "demo" and "desukara". Whereas in Indonesian the writer found; "and", "but", "because of", "even if". All conjunctions study aims to find words or expressions that connect two language units that are equal. (Yule, 2010) states "understanding how successful communication works is actually the process of interpreting not only what the speaker is saying, but what they 'mean to mean'". In other words, (Azar, 2002) says that students must also be aware of the grammatical aspects of the language, and this requires students to be exposed to authentic settings in which the language is used.

References

- Alwi, H., Dardjowidjojo, S., Lapoliwa, H., & Moeliono, A. M. (2003). *Tata Bahasa Baku Bahasa Indonesia (Indonesian Grammar)*. *Balai Pustaka, Jakarta, Indonesia*.
- Astuti, Y., Prajana, A., Damrah, Erianti, & P. (2019). Developing Social Emotional Intelligence Through Playing Activities for Early Childhood. *Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews*, 7(5), Vol 7 No 5, 946–950. Diambil dari <https://doi.org/10.18510/hssr.2019.75123>

- Azar, B. S. (2002). *Understanding and using english grammar 3rd edition with answer key*. Pearson Education Limited.
- Coghill, J., & Magedanz, S. (2003). *English Grammar*.
- Conrad, S., Biber, D., & Leech, G. (2003). *Student grammar of spoken and written English*. Longman.
- Hasan, D. C. (2018). Gender Differences in the Relationships between Students' Motivation and Achievement with their Perception of Female Teachers' Classroom Behavior. *Asian EFL Journal*, 20(4), 227–231.
- Kartika, D. (2019). *Analysis of the Use of Refusal Strategies in Japanese by Students of Japanese Literature at Bung Hatta University*. 23(3), 79.
- Keraf, G. (1991). *Tatabahasa Indonesia untuk SMK dan Umum*. Penerbit Nusa Indah.
- Prajana, A. (2017). Pemanfaatan Aplikasi Whatsapp Untuk Media Pembelajaran Dalam Lingkungan Uin Ar-Raniry Banda Aceh. *Cyberspace: Jurnal Pendidikan Teknologi Informasi*, 1(2), 122. <https://doi.org/10.22373/cs.v1i2.1980>
- Prajana, A., & Astuti, Y. (2020). *Pemanfaatan Teknologi Informasi dan Komunikasi dalam Pembelajaran Oleh Guru SMK Di Banda Aceh Dalam Upaya Implementasi Kurikulum 2013*. 7(1), 33–41. <https://doi.org/10.17977/um031v7i12020p033>
- Teng, T.-L., & Taveras, M. (2004). Combining live video and audio broadcasting, synchronous chat, and asynchronous open forum discussions in distance education. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, 33(2), 121–129.
- Tomita, T. (1993). *Bunpo no kiso chiki to sono oshiekata*. Japanese: Bonjinsha.
- Yuhendra, Y., & Albert, A. (2018). Pentingnya Pemahaman Lintas Budaya Dalam Kelancaran Berkomunikasi Mahasiswa Bahasa Inggris. *Jurnal KATA*, 2(1), 148.
- Yule, G. (2010). *The Study of Language (Fourth Edi)*. New York: Cambridge univerity press.