2._Sefnedi_and_Methaq_2016.p df by

Submission date: 22-Jun-2020 03:07PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1347934772

File name: 2._Sefnedi_and_Methaq_2016.pdf (436.88K)

Word count: 4692

Character count: 28061



THE MARKETING COMPETENCY-EXPORT PERFORMANCE RELATIONSHIP: EVIDENCE FROM INDONESIA

Sefnedi1*, Methaq Ahmed Sallam2 University 22 Bung Hatta, Indonesia

²Collage of Business Administration, Najran University P.O. Box 1988, Najran 11001, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence Author: sefnediphd@yahoo.com

Keywords: Marketing Competency, Export Performance, Manufacturing firms, Indonesia

Manufacturing sector plays a major role on Indonesia's exports and contributes significantly to gross domesti 21 roduct (GDP). Indonesian exporters in manufacturing sectors are encour 57 d by Indonesian government to upgrade their export marketing competency in order to export more. The study investigates the impact of export marketing competency on export performance in Indonesian manufacturing firms. Four dimensions of export marketing competency-export product competency, export pricing competency, export promotion competency, and export 28 ibution competency were extracted from factor analyses and hypothesized to affect the variations in export performance. The results of multiple regression analysis revealed that only export product competency and export pricing competency are statistically significant predictors of the firms' export performance. However, export promotion competency and export distribution competency is not so crucial in explaining the variations in export performance.

Introduction

Many countries recognize exports as a crucial factor for their nation's economic growth. As such, many national governments either in developed countries or developing countries assist their exporters. For instance, the Government of Indonesia (GOI) launched white paper policy 2013 in order to encourage Indonesian exporters and declared the 2000s as the era for increasing exports of non-oil and gas products.

Currently, manufacturing firms make up more than 75 per cent of the total export in Indonesia. Collectively, their contributions to the nation's 43 nomy are significant. The impact of manufacturing firms on the Indonesian economy can be seen from their contributions in terms of employment enhancement, income generation, and the increase in the amount of export 31 he importance of exports has become significant as demonstrated by the output of exports, worth USD 69,714 million or 33.7 per cent of the nation's Gross Domestic Product (GDP) in 2014. Although the Indonesian total exports increased slightly during the year of 2011 to 2014, the contribution of manufacturing industry to the total exports seemed to decrease rapidly from the year of 2012 to 2014, which was accounted for 69.5%, 63.8%, and 55.3% respectively. According to Minister of Trade and Industry of Indonesia, Soewandi (2013) asserted that Indonesian successful exporters should emphasize product quality, pricing, promotion, and consumer satisfaction suggests on-time delivery. However, her argument is still descriptive analyses. This suggests that there is a need for investigation on the determinants of ex 42 performance of the Indonesian manufacturing firms.

Despite the fact that marketing competency are crucial to the success of exporting firms, a review of the literature on manufacturing firms in Indone 3a suggests that limited research has focused on this significant variable. The present study is intended to this research issue. More specifically, the purpose of this study is to investigate which marketing competency explains export performance in Indonesian manufacturing firms.

Literature review

The literature offers various definitions of competency depending from which 56 spective it is viewed. There at least two major paradigms used to explain competency namely the competitive for 20 view (Porter, 1980; 1985) and the resource based view (Prahalad & Hamel, 1990). The competitive forces view argues that the success of a company's competitive strategy depends on the choice of a strategy that posits the firm in its industry so that it can defend itself against competitive forces. Another domina view of firm performance that has been widely accepted and gaining increasing acceptance in strategic management literature is the resource-based view. According to this view, a firm performs well over time because it develops a distinctive competence that allows it to outperform its competitors. In other words, the firm's performance depends on its competencies rather than the environment in which it operates.

According to Day (1994), there are two related types of resources that are necessary for creating competitive advantage namely, assets and capabilities. 7sets are the resource endowments a firm has accumulated (e.g., investments in the facilities), and capabilities are a firm's complex bundles of skills and accumulated knowledge, experienced through organizational process that enable the firm to coordinate activities and make the best use of its assets. Marketing competency enables exporting firm to

ISSN: 2349- 5197 Impact Factor (PIF): 2.138



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH SCIENCE & MANAGEMENT

11

outperform their competitors because its marketing competency rooted in the knowledge, skill, and experience of employees and others in the value chain (Prahalad & Hamel, 1990).

The efforts to understand marketing competency have b 20 documented in recent years relate to the effect of resource-based view perspectives on competitive advantage. For instance, in the strategic export model 40 Aaby and Slater (1989), export competency has been conceptualized in seven competencies namely, technology, export/market knowledge, planning, export policy, management control, quality, and communication. However, this definition is very wide and it does not focus on certain functional areas in 12 organization. On the other hand, Katsikeas (1994) identified four capabilities in a firm's marketing competency namely, production capability, marketing and promotion capability, product superiority, and competitive pricing. In the study, he included production capability and excluded distribution capability makes this typology incomplete. It is argued that production is another firm's functional area, which is unusual in marketing unlike distribution that is one of the key marketing components. Therefore, it does 111 have any consistence with the 4Ps framework.

In the context of exporting firms' performance, Prasad, Ramamurthy and Naidu (2001) conceptualized marketing competency as how well or poorly firms possessed specific export marketing related competency as compared to their competitors. Meanwhile, Zou, Fang and Zhao (2003) conceptualized the marketing competency as the extent of an export venture's capability and positional ad 55 ptages compared with those of its major rivals in the export market. Both of these studies found that marketing competency has a positive influence on export performance. For instance, Zou et al. (2003) conducted a study in China found that pricing and communication capabilities have positively significant effects on financial export performance measured by sales volume while distribution and product development capabilities are not significant. Table 1 summarizes the findings of marketing competency and performance. Of the seven studies listed, only one study conducted in Asean countries (Kim-Soon, 2005). He conducted a study in Malaysia found that out of the five marketing competency, only product differentiation; market segment 54 n and targeting are found to contribute significantly to overall export venture performance while the rests are not significant. This study hopes to fill the gap by providing evidence from Indonesia.

Hypothesis



The literature seems to suggest that the development and implementation of effective marketing competency is particularly important in the 39 anufacturing industry because the business environment within this sector is constantly changing. Therefore, manufacturing firms must succeed in building and competencies that lead to growth and/or long-term survival (Goldsmith, 2000). Therefore, in this study, firms with high export marketing competency are hypothesized to have a superior export performance.

Ha: Exporting firms with greater export marketing competency in terms of (1) export product competency, (2) export pricing competency, (3) export promotion competency, and (4) export distribution competency, will posses superior export performance.



International Journal of Research science & Management

Table 1. Findings of Marketing Competency on Performance

				v
Author(s)	Country	Marketing Competency	Dependent Variable	Findings
Kim-Soon (2005)	Malaysia	Promotion, Export management, Segmenting and targeting, Product management, and Product differentiation	Overall export venture performance	Out of the five marketing competency, only the market segmentation & targeting and the product differentiation were found to contribute significantly to the export venture performance.
Zou et al (2003)	China	There are four marketing capability: Pricing capability, distribution capability, communication capability, and product development capability.	Export financial performance (Sales volume)	Only pricing capability and communication capability have positively significant effects on export financial performance.
Moore & Fairhurst (2003)	USA	There are four marketing capabilities: Customer service capability, store image differentiation capability, external (market level) knowledge capability, and promotional capability.	Firm performance	Among the four marketing capabilities, only promotional capability and image differentiation capability were significant related to firm performance
Guan & Ma (2003)	China	There are nine items to measure marketing capability.	Export ratio	Marketing competency contributed to export ratio significantly and positively.
Vorhies & Herkers (2000)	Australia	There are five dimensions of marketing capability: Pricing, promotion, distribution channel, market management and planning, and marketing research development.	Overall organizational performance	Promotion, distribution channel, and marketing research development are significantly related to overall business performance.
Woodside et al. (1999)	Finland	There five variables of distinctive marketing competency: Managing fund, Offering superior service, Superior images, Knowledge of customers & competitors, and planning & allocating skills	Organizational performance (Profit, ROI, Customer satisfaction)	Distinctive marketing competencies are associated positively and strongly with organizational performance. Specifically, all marketing competency variables with exception managing fund are significantly related to customer satisfaction. Only offering superior service is significantly related to ROI and none are found significantly to contribute to profit measurement.
Conant et al. (1990)	US	There are 20 items to measure marketing competency.	Organizational Performance (Profitability)	ANOVA. (marketing com 38 ncy of prospector organizations is superior to those of analyzer, defender, and reactor organizations, all three archetypes perform equally well in term of profitability and outperform reactors).



Research methodology

Data was collected from Indo sian manufacturing firms through a mail survey instrument. The data collection spanned the period from April to October 2015. A total of 1.077 questionnaires were sent to targeted respon (14)'s mailing address. The firms were randomly selected from the listing of Indonesian Manufacturing Industry Directory, 2015. The unit of analysis is conducted at the anizational level. Therefore, the top managers' perceptions of the study variables are measured. A total of 129 complete questionnaires were returned giving an overall response rate of 11.97%. Given the known difficulties of receiving a good response rate from Indonesian companies, the response rate of this study is similar to previous studies in Indonesian manufacturing firms (Herianto, 2006; Herri, 2002; Suhairi, 2003).

Twenty items measuring export marketic 12 ompetency are derived from reviews of previews marketing competency measurement and other export responsibility and export export performance is the outcomes of a firm's activities in export markets. This study proposed three dimensions to measure export performance. First, financial export performance that deals with export profitability and export sales growth (adopted from Osman, 1994). Second, strategic export performance relates to what extent responding firms achieve their strategic goals in terms of positioning, knowledge acquisition, responded to competitive pressure in domestic market. Last, export satisfaction deals with the strategic export performance is measured using a 5-point Likert scale measured on a I=strongly disagree, to 5=strongly agree consisting of nine items.

The Profile of responding firms

The major export market of the participating firms is advanced developed countries such as USA (24.8%), Japan (21.1%), Germany (9.2%), and Australia (6.4%). Most of them are the textile and apparel sector (39.4%). In terms of export experience, slightly less than half of them are relatively new in exporting with six years export experience or less (45.0%). Majority of the participating companies are made up of large firms (56.9%) with number of full time employees of more than 150 employees. With regard to export entry, majority of the respondent firms are exporting directly to distributor in importing country (35.8%), followed by exporting through foreign trading company based in Singapore (25.7%) and Indonesia (21.1%). Approximately 14.7 percent of responding firms are exporting through local Indonesian trading company, and the remaining three companies exported through own subsidiary overseas.

Data Analysis

There are a 6 east two important methods to assess the goodness of measure namely: factor and reliability analyses (Sekaran, 2003). The purpose of 33 ctor analysis is to achieve data reduction or to retain the nature and character of the original items, but reduce the number of items (Hair, Black, Babin, Anderson and Tatham, 2016). In conducting factor analysis, this study followed the 5k assumptions that recommended by Hair et al. (2006). They are (1) MO measure of sampling adequacy greater than .50, (2) Barlett's test of sphericity is at least significant at .05, (3) anti-image correlation of items greater than .50, (4) command items greater than .50, (5) minimum factor loading (cutoff) of .55, and (6) minimum eigenvalues of 1. To measure the internal consistency of the items, a reliability anal 1s was conducted on all factors. The minimum cronbach's alpha of .70 considered to be acceptable (Sekaran, 2003). Reliability is an indication of the stability and consistency with which the instrument measures the concept and helps to assess the goodness of a measure (Sekaran, 2003). In additional consistency reliability coefficient that indicates how well the items in a set are positively correlated to one another. The closer Cronbach's alpha is to 1, the higher the internal consistency reliability.

A factor analysis on 20 items of export marketing competency construct resulted in four factors explaining 76.72% of the overall variance. The all assumptions in feet analysis mentioned above were met. The factors and their corresponding items were labeled accordingly as presented in Table 3. The results of the reliability analysis affirmed that all the scales display satisfactory levels of reliability with Cronbach's alpha values much higher than the minimum threshold (Cronbach's alpha >.70). The patterns of mean values shows that export pricing competency achieved the highest mean score (3.61), followed by export product competency (3.56), export distribution competency (3.26), and export promotion competency (2.75).



Table 2. Factor and Reliability Analyses on Export Marketing Competency

Table 2. Factor and Reliability Analyses on Export Marketing Competency [tems Factor Loading				
	F 1	F 2	F 3	F 4
Export Promotion Competency				
Selection and participation in relevant foreign trade fairs	.90	.08	.11	.15
Export promotion adaptation	.90	.18	.07	.11
Skills in communicating with importers	.89	.01	.06	.19
Managing sales promotional activities of export	.87	.14	.14	.07
Export Pricing Competency				
Export price discounts	.16	.86	.12	.27
Deciding the appropriate export price level	.07	.86	.17	.30
Providing export credit policy	.11	.84	.06	.25
Responding quickly to export competitors' pricing tactics	.19	.81	.08	.32
Export Distribution Competency				
Ability to on-time delivery of export products.	.07	.01	.82	.14
Adding value to export distributors' business.	.01	.24	.79	.03
Attracting and retaining the best export distributors	.21	.00	.78	.15
Providing high levels of support to export distributors	.05	02	.77	.03
Satisfying the needs of foreign distributors.	.06	.22	.77	.11
Export Product Competency				
New export product development	.11	.22	.20	.85
Ability to differentiate export product offerings.	.17	.28	.15	.81
Ability to provide export product warranty	.11	.39	.07	.79
Quality of export products	.20	.30	.15	.77
Variance Explained (%)	39.54	15.44	14.67	7.07
Eigenvalues	6.72	2.62	2.49	1.20
Cronbach's alpha	.93	.93	.86	.91
Mean Values	2.75	3.61	3.26	3.56
Standard Deviation	.97	.78	.68	.73

Note: Items with factor loading less than .55 were deleted



For construct of export performance, a factor analysis on the nine items produced only single factor that accounted for 68.85% of the total variance. However, one item was dropped since it achieved low communalities (.15) and low factor loading (.38). This factor was labeled as overall executed the result of the reliability test for this factor was also above the satisfactory level (Cronbach's alpha = .94). As shown in Table 3, the mean value score of overall export performance was 3.60 and standard deviation of .60 indicating that the responding firms are rather modest in their evaluation of export performance.

Table 3. Factor and Reliability Analyses on Export Performance

Items	
	1) Factor Loadings
Firm's export has been successful	.86
Firm's export has increased the awareness of our product/company	.85
Firm's export has improved our experiential knowledge of international markets	.85
Firm's export has met our expectation	.84
Firm's export has achieved sales growth	.83
Firm's export has been satisfactory	.82
Responded to competitive pressure in domestic market	.81
Firm's export has achieved profits	.80
Percentage Variance Explained	68.85
Eigen-values	5.51
Cronbach's alpha	.94
Mean Values	3.60
Standard Deviation	.63

Note: Items with factor loading less than .55 were deleted

Relationship between Export Marketing Competency and Export Performance

In order to investigate which export marketing competency explains the variation in export performance, a hierarchical regression was performed. In this analysis, firm's size and experience variables were treated as control variables. Table 4 displayed the results of regression of export marketing competency on overall export performance. In step 1, none of the control variables significantly influenced overall export performance and the coefficient of determination (R²) was found 0.00 indicating only 0% of overall export performance was explained by the two control variables.



Table 4. The Influence of Export Marketing Competency and Overall Export Performance

Dependent	Variables	Beta	
Variable		Step 1	Step 2
Overall Export			
Performance	Control		
	Firm Size	.01	01
	Experience	.02	.00
	Independent		
	Product Competency		.26*
	Pricing Competency		.23*
	Promotion Competency		.05
	Distribution Competency		.16
	R Square (R ²)	.00	.29
	Adjusted R Square	02	.25
	F change	.01	10.43**

Note. Significant levels **p < .01; *p < .05

In step 2, the addition of the four variables of export marketing competency has resulted in R^2 increased to 29%. It implied that the four dimensions of export marketing competency cumulatively contributed additional 29% of the variance in overall export performance. However, the results revealed that only two dimensions of export marketing competency significantly affected the overall export performance. They were export product competency ($\beta = 0.26$, p < 0.05) and export pricing competency ($\beta = 0.23$, p < 0.05). These results supported Ha (1) and (2), while Ha (3) and Ha (4) were not supported.

26 scussion and Research Implication

The results of multiple regression analys 49 ft his study support the contention that marketing competency 48 erates export performance (Aaby & Slater, 1989; Prasad et al., 2001; Zou et al., 2003). The findings reveal that export product competency (β = .26, p< .05) and export pricing competency (β = .23, p< .05) significantly affect overall export performance. However, it was found that export promotion competency and export distribution competency are not as crucial as compar 13 to export product competency and export ricing competency since they are not statistically significant related to overall export performance. Overall, it can be concluded that the findings of this study are in line 47 h Guan and Ma (2003), Kim-Soon (2005), Prasad et al. (2001) and Zou et a 17,2003) who found export marketing competency positively affects export performance 37 the export market. Although previous studies have emphasized the importance of promotion in export market (Kirpalani & MacIntosh, 1980) and found positive relationship between export promotion and export performance (Lee & Griffith, 2004; Zou et al, 2003), this study seems to show that export promotion competo cy is not so crucial for Indonesian manufacturing firms in explaining export performance. The insignificant finding on the relationship between export promotion competency and overall export performance can be perhaps resulted from the fact that the samples comprise a v 36 ty of business-to-business markets. Clearly, differences across business-to-business markets will have divergent influences on the relationship between export promotion and export performance. For instance, the importance of brand building, upon which promotion is a tenet for export success, differs stantially across business-to-business markets and therefore may could be results. The rationale for the result could be similar to that of Cavusgi 13 d Zou (1994), who found insignificant relationship between promotion adaptation and export performance. They argue that simplistic adaptation without careful examination of the export market could account for insignifica46 findings.

Previous studies have emphasized the importance of distribution in the export market such as retaining the best distributed satisfying the needs of distributor, providing high levels of support and ability to on time delivery of export product and four 8 the positive relationship between export distribution and export performance have been documented (Madsen, 1989; Zou & Stan, 1998 Zou et al, 2003). However, the finding of this study lends support other findings in developing countries (Herian 10 2006; Kim-Soon, 2005) that distribution does not significantly influence performance. The logic behind the insignificant effect of export promotion competency and export distribution competency on export performance is that the tasks of distributing and promoting the products in Indonesian manufacturing exporting firms are often the domain of their importers. This study concurs with the Wortzel and Wortzel 32 \$1) model that the buyers in the context of developing country export often control promotion and distribution activities. However, the finding of this study is slightly different from that by Vorhies and Herkers (2000), and



Woodsite et al., (1999). Their studies found significant relationship between marketing competencies (promotion and distribution channel) and export performance in the developed countries.

Previous re 44 ch has indicated that international buyers purchase from developing country-based m 16 facturers because they are able to get good value for money, high product quality, satisfactory ordering / shipping procedures, reliability/security of regular delivery and long-term supply (Leonidou & Kaleka, 1998; Zou et., 2003) whereas these factors are related product and distribution competencies. Judging from this finding, it appears that Indonesian exporters are at a disadvantage. They may be competent in pricing and product, but they seem to be least competent on delivery. They must strive to build their competency in non-price and product-related factors for long-term survival.

Conclusion

The results suggest that export marketing competency on export performance in Indonesian manufacturing firms. The results suggest that export marketing compating in terms export product competency and export pricing competency significantly affect overall export performa 34. Export marketing competency in terms of export promotion competency and export distribution competency do not seem to have a significant impact on overall export performance. Given the findings of this study with regard to export marketing competency in exporting, approaches to enhance the firm's export marketing competency appear to be worthwhile. If Indonesian manufacturing firms are to be successful in exporting, their managers need to devote their efforts to develop higher levels of export marketing competency compared to their competitors. This greater commitment and efforts may provide Indonesian manufacturing firms with an additional opportunity to enhance their sustainable competitive advantages as well as to achieve better performance.

Reference

- Aaby, E.N., & Slater, S. (1989). Management influence on export performance: A review of the empirical literature 1978-88. International Marketing Review, 6(4), 7-26.
- Cavusgil, S. T., & Zou, S. (1994). Marketing strategy performance relationship: an investigation of empirical link in export ventures. *Journal of Marketing*. (58), 1-21.
- Conant, J. S., Mokwa, M. P., & Varadarajan, P. R. (1990). Strategic types, distinctive marketing competencies and organizational performance: a multiple measures-based study. Strategic Management Journal, 11(5), 356-383.
- Day, G. S. (1994). The capabilities of market-driven organizations. Journal of Marketing, 58 (October), 37-52.
- Goldsmith, R. E. (2000). Characteristics of heavy users of fashion closing. Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice, 8(4), 21-28.
- 6. Guan, J., & Ma, N. (2003). Innovative capability and export performance of Chinese firms. Technovation, 23, 737-747.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., Anderson, R. E., & Tatham, R. L. (2006). Multivariate Data Analysis. 6th edition. Prentice Hall International, Inc.
- 8. Herianto, P. (2006). Hubungan antara keusahawanan syarikat dengan perstasi syarikat: Peranan aktiviti pemasaran dan persekitaran perniagaan sebagai pembolehubah penyederhana. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis. Universiti Sains Malaysia.
- 9. Herri. (2000). Ciri-ciri pengurus atasan, strategi, dan prestasi syarikat. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis. Universiti Sains Malaysia.
- Indonesian Central Bureau of Statistics, 2005 (Biro Pusat Statistik, BPS). Manufacturing industry directory. Bhinneka Tunggal Ika (Bhintika) CV. Jakarta.
- 11. Katsikeas, C. S. (1994). Export competitive advantages: The relevance of firm characteristics. *International Marketing Review*. 11(5), 33-54.
- Kim-Soon, K. (2005). Value of export information enhancement of marketing competency, environmental characteristics, and export venture performance. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis. Universiti Sains Malaysia.
- 13. Kirpalani, V. H., & Macintosh, N. B. (1980). International marketing effectiveness of technology-oriented small firms. *Journal of International Business Studies. Winter*, 81-90.
- 14. Lee, C., & Griffith, D. A. (2004). The marketing strategy-performance relationship in an export-driven developing economy: a Korean illustration. *International Marketing Review*, 21(3), 321-334.
- Leonidou L. C., & Kaleka, A. A. (1998). Behavioral aspect of international buyer seller relationship. *International Marketing Review*. 15(5), 373-397.
- Madsen, K.T. (1989). Successful export management: some empirical evidence. *International Marketing Review*. 6(4), 41-57.
- 17. Moore, M., & Fairhurst, A. (2003). Marketing capabilities and firm performance in fashion retailing. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*. 7(4), 386-397.



- Osman, M. (1994). Factors associated with successful exporters: empirical evidence from Malaysia, Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis. University of Strathclyde, Glasgow.
- Porter, M. E. (1980). Competitive strategy: techniques for an analyzing industries and competitors. New York: The Free Press.
- 20. Porter, M. E. (1985). Competitive advantage. New York, NY: Free Press.
- 21. Prahalad, C. K., & Hamel, G. (1990). The core competence of the corporation. *Harvard Business Review*. 68(May-June), 79-91.
- 22. Prasad, V. K., Ramamurthy, K., & Naidu, G. M. (2001). The influence of internet-marketing integration on market competences and export performance. *Journal of International Marketing*, 9(4), 82–11.
- 23. Sekaran, U. (2003). Research methods for business: A skill building approach. Fourth Edition, John Wiley & Son, Inc.
- 24. Soewandi, R. (2013). Strategi jitu mendongkrak ekspor. Beinews, 17(Nov-Dec), 28-29.
- 25. Suhairi. (2004). Kepribadian, pengetahuan perakaunan, penggunaan maklumat perakaunan dan prestasi: Kajian usahawan industri sederhana Indonesia. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis. Universiti Sains Malaysia.
- 26. Vorhies, D. W., & Harker, M. (2000). The capabilities and performance advantages of market-driven firms: an empirical investigation. *Australian Journal of Management*. 25(2), 145-171.
- Woodside, A. G., Sullivan, D. P., & Trappey, R. J. (1999). Assessing relationship among strategic types, distinctive marketing competencies, and organizational performance. *Journal of Business Research*. 45, 135-146.
- Wortzel, L. H., & Wortzel, H. V. (1981). Export marketing strategies for MC and LDC-based firms. Columbia Journal of World Business, 45, 135-146.
- 29. Zou, S., & Stan, S. (1998). The determinants of export performance: A review of the empirical literature between 1987 and 1997. *International Marketing Review*. 15(3), 333-356.
- 30. Zou, S., Fang, E., & Zhao, S. (2003). The effect of export marketing capabilities on export performance: an investigation of Chinese exporters. *Journal of International Marketing*. 11(4), 32-55.
- 31. Zou, S., Taylor, C. R., & Osland, G. E. (1998). The EXPERT scale: A cross-national generalized export performance measure. *Journal of International Marketing*, 6(3), 37-58.

2._Sefnedi_and_Methaq_2016.pdf

19% SIMILARITY INDEX

11%

11%

13%

IMILARITY INDEX INTERNET SOURCES

PUBLICATIONS

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

fada.birzeit.edu

1%

Anthony Joseph, Claude Turner, Rolston Jeremiah. "Comparative Analyses of Stock Returns Properties and Predictability", Procedia Computer Science, 2016

1%

Publication

journal-archieves8.webs.com

1%

Submitted to UNITEC Institute of Technology
Student Paper

1%

Submitted to Callaghan Campus

<1%

Student Paper

Internet Source

www.jatit.org

<1%

dochero.tips

Internet Source

<1%

Publication

<1%

9	link.springer.com Internet Source	<1%
10	www.cais.unimas.my Internet Source	<1%
11	researcharchive.vuw.ac.nz Internet Source	<1%
12	adolphus.me.uk Internet Source	<1%
13	Submitted to Kensington College of Business Student Paper	<1%
14	www.cocombine.org Internet Source	<1%
15	repositorio.utad.pt Internet Source	<1%
16	Leonidou, L.C "Factors affecting the decision to purchase from third world manufacturers: The British experience", European Management Journal, 198823 Publication	<1%
17	Submitted to The University of Manchester Student Paper	<1%
18	Submitted to University of Nottingham Student Paper	<1%

19	Internet Source	<1%
20	Submitted to University of Strathclyde Student Paper	<1%
21	"Strategy and Performance", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2004 Publication	<1%
22	Methaq Ahmed Abdulmajid Sallam. "Endorser Credibility Effects on Yemeni Male Consumer's Attitudes towards Advertising, Brand Attitude and Purchase Intention: The Mediating Role of Attitude toward Brand", International Business Research, 03/27/2012 Publication	<1%
23	ijrsm.com Internet Source	<1%
24	Baltic Journal of Management, Volume 9, Issue 1 (2013-12-14) Publication	<1%
25	Ling-yee, L "The influence of interfirm relational capabilities on export advantage and performance: an empirical analysis", International Business Review, 200108 Publication	<1%
26	Submitted to Massey University Student Paper	<1%

27	orca.cf.ac.uk Internet Source	<1%
28	eujournal.org Internet Source	<1%
29	files.eric.ed.gov Internet Source	<1%
30	Woodside, A.G "Assessing Relationships among Strategic Types, Distinctive Marketing Competencies, and Organizational Performance", Journal of Business Research, 199906 Publication	<1%
31	theexpressnews.com Internet Source	<1%
32	Submitted to University of Hull Student Paper	<1%
33	penerbit.uthm.edu.my Internet Source	<1%
34	epubs.scu.edu.au Internet Source	<1%
35	www.hrpub.org Internet Source	<1%
36	Submitted to University of Durham Student Paper	<1%

37	Submitted to University of Birmingham Student Paper	<1%
38	B.C Ghosh, Tan Wee Liang, Tan Teck Meng, Ben Chan. "The key success factors, distinctive capabilities, and strategic thrusts of top SMEs in Singapore", Journal of Business Research, 2001 Publication	<1%
39	Submitted to Hanken School of Economics Student Paper	<1%
40	www.shirazu.ac.ir Internet Source	<1%
41	European Journal of Marketing, Volume 45, Issue 6 (2011-05-24) Publication	<1%
42	citeseerx.ist.psu.edu Internet Source	<1%
43	pdf.usaid.gov Internet Source	<1%
44	Katsikeas, C.S "Import Motivation in Manufacturer-Overseas Distributor Relationships", Industrial Marketing Management, 199911 Publication	<1%
45	eiba2008.ttu.ee	

m3.ithq.qc.ca
Internet Source

<1%

53	A.F.M. Jalal Ahamed, Kåre Skallerud. "The link between export relationship quality, performance and expectation of continuing the relationship", International Journal of Emerging Markets, 2015 Publication	<1%
54	etds.lib.ncku.edu.tw Internet Source	<1%
55	202.74.245.22:8080 Internet Source	<1%
56	www.qip-journal.eu Internet Source	<1%
57	wrap.warwick.ac.uk Internet Source	<1%
58	Submitted to UK College of Business and Computing Student Paper	<1%
59	Submitted to University of Westminster Student Paper	<1%
60	Submitted to Cranfield University Student Paper	<1%
61	Submitted to Indiana State University Student Paper	<1%
62	S. Tamer Cavusgil, Shaoming Zou. "Marketing	<1%

Strategy-Performance Relationship: An
Investigation of the Empirical Link in Export
Market Ventures", Journal of Marketing, 2018

Publication

Carlos M. P. Sousa, Jorge Lengler. "Psychic distance, marketing strategy and performance in export ventures of Brazilian firms", Journal of Marketing Management, 2009

<1%

Publication

"The Customer is NOT Always Right? Marketing Orientationsin a Dynamic Business World", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2017

<1%

Publication

Submitted to Napier University
Student Paper

<1%

George Balabanis, Marios Theodosiou, Evangelia S. Katsikea. "Guest editorial", International Marketing Review, 2004

<1%

Publication

Exclude quotes

Off

Exclude matches

Off

Exclude bibliography

On