

# **KUISIONER PENELITIAN**

**FAKTOR-FAKTOR YANG MEMPENGARUHI PENGAMBILAN KEPUTUSAN  
PEMELIHARAAN ASET JALAN KABUPATEN KERINCI**



**DESI AMELIA**

**NPM : 1710018312029**

**PROGRAM PASCA SARJANA**

**UNIVERSITAS BUNG HATTA**

**PADANG 2020**

## I. PENDAHULUAN

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengidentifikasi dan menganalisa Faktor – faktor yang Mempengaruhi Pengambilan Keputusan Pemeliharaan Aset Jalan di Kabupaten Kerinci. Penelitian ini perlu dilakukan karena untuk mengetahui faktor yang sangar berpengaruh terhadap pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan aset jalan.

## II. INFORMASI PENELITIAN

Nama Peneliti : DESI AMELIA  
Status Penelitian : Penelitian Magister Teknik (M.T)  
Judul Penelitian : Faktor – faktor yang memepengaruhi Pengambilan Keputusan Pemeliharaan Aset Jalan Kabupaten Kerinci  
  
Pembimbing : 1. Dr. Ir. Wardi, M.Si  
2. Dr. Ir. M. Nursyaifi Yulius M.Tech,Mgt

## III. TUJUAN PENELITIAN

1. Untuk menentukan faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi Pengambilan Keputusan Pemeliharaan Aset Jalan Kabupaten Kerinci.
2. Untuk menentukan faktor yang paling dominan yang mempengaruhi Pengambilan Keputusan Pemeliharaan Aset Jalan Kabupaten Kerinci.

## IV. SASARAN KUISIONER

Sasaran kuisioner ini yaitu pihak – pihak yang terlibat dalam pelaksanaan kegiatan Pemeliharaan Aset Jalan di Kabupaten Kerinci.

Kuisiner terdiri dari 2 (dua) bagian, yaitu :

- Bagian A : Informasi tentang responden
- Bagian B : Persepsi responden tentang faktor – faktor yang memepengaruhi Pengambilan Keputusan Pemeliharaan Aset Jalan di Kabupaten Kerinci

Jawaban yang diberikan oleh responden bersifat rahasia dan tidak akan disebarluaskan kepada umum, dan hanya bersifat empiris untuk penelitian akademis.

### **BAGIAN A**

Pada bagian ini, diminta kepada bapak/ibu/saudara/I untuk menjawab pertanyaan-pertanyaan dengan memberi tanda “√” sesuai dengan informasi yang benar pada bagian diri responden yang meliputi sebagai berikut :

#### **Data Responden**

Nama : .....

Alamat : .....

No Telp/Hp : .....

1. **Umur**

20 – 30 Tahun  31-40 Tahun  41-50 Tahun  > 50 Tahun

2. **Jabatan/Posisi**

➤ **Dinas Pekerjaan Umum dan Perumahan Rakyat Kabupaten Kerinci**

- Kepala Dinas
- Kepala Bidang
- Kepala Seksi
- Staf Teknis

3. **Pengalaman Kerja**

- 1 – 5 Tahun
- 6 – 10 Tahun
- 11 – 15 Tahun
- 16 – 20 Tahun
- > 20 Tahun

4. **Pendidikan Terakhir**

- SMA/SMK
- Diploma 3
- Strata 1
- Strata 2

**Tanda Tangan Responden**

.....

**BAGIAN B**

Bagian ini digunakan untuk memperoleh informasi berupa penelitian dari responden dengan variabel **faktor – faktor yang mempengaruhi pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan di Kabupaten Kerinci** . responden diminta memilih 1 (satu) jawaban yang dianggap benar atau mendekati dengan memberi tanda “√” pada setiap pernyataan variabel, dengan tingkat skala intensitas sebagai berikut :

No	Pernyataan	Kode	Skor
1	Sangat Berpengaruh	SB	5
2	Berpengaruh	B	4
3	Cukup Berpengaruh	CB	3
4	Kurang Berpengaruh	KB	2
5	Tidak Berpengaruh	TB	1

No.	Pertanyaan/Pernyataan	Alternatif Jawaban				
		SB	B	CB	KB	TB
Bagaimana persepsi anda terhadap pertanyaan berikut : Faktor-faktor pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan aset jalan Kabupaten Kerinci.						
	<b>A. Kondisi Jalan</b>					
1.	Apakah menurut saudara/i Kerusakan Jalan mempengaruhi pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan ?					
2.	Apakah menurut saudara/i Kondisi perkerasan jalan mempengaruhi pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan ?					
3.	Apakah menurut saudara/i Lebar jalan mempengaruhi pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan ?					
4.	Apakah menurut saudara/i Umur jalan mempengaruhi pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan ?					
	<b>B. Lalu Lintas</b>					
1.	Apakah menurut saudara/i Volume lalu lintas mempengaruhi pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan ?					
2.	Apakah menurut saudara/i Rawan kecelakaan mempengaruhi pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan ?					
3.	Apakah menurut saudara/i Kecepatan Lalu Lintas mempengaruhi pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan ?					
4.	Apakah menurut saudara/i Lalu lintas harian rata-rata mempengaruhi pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan ?					
	<b>C. Tata Guna Lahan</b>					
1.	Apakah menurut saudara/i akses ke Kawasan pemukiman mempengaruhi pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan ?					
2.	Apakah menurut saudara/i akses akses jalan ke Pusat Pemerintahan mempengaruhi pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan ?					
3.	Apakah menurut saudara/i akses jalan untuk penunjang kawasan pariwisata mempengaruhi pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan ?					
4.	Apakah menurut saudara/i akses jalan untuk penunjang bidang pertanian mempengaruhi pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan ?					

No.	Pertanyaan/Pernyataan	Alternatif Jawaban				
		SB	B	CB	KB	TB
5.	Apakah menurut saudara/i penunjang bidang perkebunan mempengaruhi pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan ?					
	<b>D. Sosial</b>					
1.	Apakah menurut saudara/i Konektivitas antar wilayah mempengaruhi pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan ?					
2.	Apakah menurut saudara/i Keluhan dari masyarakat berpengaruh pada pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan ?					
3.	Apakah menurut saudara/i jumlah penduduk pengguna ruas jalan mempengaruhi pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan ?					
4.	Apakah menurut saudara/i jumlah fasilitas umum yang berada di sepanjang jalan berpengaruh pada pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan ?					
5.	Apakah menurut saudara/i akses jalan ke pelayanan pendidikan mempengaruhi pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan ?					
6.	Apakah menurut saudara/i akses jalan ke akses pelayanan kesehatan mempengaruhi pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan ?					
	<b>E. Ekonomi</b>					
1.	Apakah menurut saudara/i besaran rincian biaya pemeliharaan jalan berpengaruh terhadap pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan ?					
2.	Apakah menurut saudara/i potensi ekonomi komoditi unggulan berpengaruh terhadap pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan ?					
3.	Apakah menurut saudara/i meningkatkan produk domestik regional bruto daerah berpengaruh terhadap pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan ?					
4.	Apakah menurut saudara/i jalan ke pusat perdagangan barang dan jasa mempengaruhi pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan ?					
	<b>F. Kebijakan</b>					
1.	Apakah menurut saudara/i Hasil musrenbang tingkat kabupaten mempengaruhi pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan ?					
2.	Apakah menurut saudara/i hasil musrenbang tingkat kecamatan mempengaruhi pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan ?					

No.	Pertanyaan/Pernyataan	Alternatif Jawaban				
		SB	B	CB	KB	TB
3.	Apakah menurut saudara/i Kebijakan pemerintah daerah mempengaruhi pengambilan keputusan pemeliharaan jalan ?					

## Correlations

Correlations

		x1.1	x1.2	x1.3	x1.4	x1.5	X1
x1.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.678**	.722**	.552**	.636**	.811**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75	75
x1.2	Pearson Correlation	.678**	1	.862**	.799**	.849**	.938**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75	75
x1.3	Pearson Correlation	.722**	.862**	1	.737**	.776**	.920**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75	75
x1.4	Pearson Correlation	.552**	.799**	.737**	1	.839**	.875**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75	75
x1.5	Pearson Correlation	.636**	.849**	.776**	.839**	1	.916**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000		.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75	75
X1	Pearson Correlation	.811**	.938**	.920**	.875**	.916**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	75	75	75	75	75	75

\*\* . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

**Correlations**

		x2.1	x2.2	x2.3	x2.4	X2
x2.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.636**	.574**	.635**	.807**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75
x2.2	Pearson Correlation	.636**	1	.740**	.728**	.902**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000	.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75
x2.3	Pearson Correlation	.574**	.740**	1	.697**	.877**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000	.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75
x2.4	Pearson Correlation	.635**	.728**	.697**	1	.880**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000		.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75
X2	Pearson Correlation	.807**	.902**	.877**	.880**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	75	75	75	75	75

\*\* . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

**Correlations**

		x3.1	x3.2	x3.3	x3.4	x3.5	X3
x3.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.919**	.795**	.805**	.498**	.922**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75	75
x3.2	Pearson Correlation	.919**	1	.875**	.845**	.526**	.957**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75	75
x3.3	Pearson Correlation	.795**	.875**	1	.799**	.502**	.913**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75	75
x3.4	Pearson Correlation	.805**	.845**	.799**	1	.469**	.900**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75	75
x3.5	Pearson Correlation	.498**	.526**	.502**	.469**	1	.674**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000		.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75	75



X3	Pearson Correlation	.922**	.957**	.913**	.900**	.674**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	75	75	75	75	75	75

\*\* Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

#### Correlations

		x4.1	x4.2	x4.3	x4.4	x4.5	x4.6	X4
x4.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.656**	.476**	.492**	.627**	.726**	.782**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75	75	75
x4.2	Pearson Correlation	.656**	1	.761**	.775**	.693**	.757**	.904**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75	75	75
x4.3	Pearson Correlation	.476**	.761**	1	.865**	.544**	.714**	.841**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75	75	75
x4.4	Pearson Correlation	.492**	.775**	.865**	1	.634**	.760**	.877**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75	75	75
x4.5	Pearson Correlation	.627**	.693**	.544**	.634**	1	.660**	.821**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75	75	75
x4.6	Pearson Correlation	.726**	.757**	.714**	.760**	.660**	1	.901**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000		.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75	75	75
X4	Pearson Correlation	.782**	.904**	.841**	.877**	.821**	.901**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	75	75	75	75	75	75	75

\*\* Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

**Correlations**

		x5.1	x5.2	x5.3	x5.4	X5
x5.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.857**	.862**	.796**	.952**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75
x5.2	Pearson Correlation	.857**	1	.786**	.727**	.910**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000	.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75
x5.3	Pearson Correlation	.862**	.786**	1	.804**	.937**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000	.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75
x5.4	Pearson Correlation	.796**	.727**	.804**	1	.898**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000		.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75
X5	Pearson Correlation	.952**	.910**	.937**	.898**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	75	75	75	75	75

\*\* . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

**Correlations**

		x6.1	x6.2	x6.3	X6
x6.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.832**	.764**	.936**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000
	N	75	75	75	75
x6.2	Pearson Correlation	.832**	1	.757**	.932**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000
	N	75	75	75	75
x6.3	Pearson Correlation	.764**	.757**	1	.908**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000
	N	75	75	75	75
X6	Pearson Correlation	.936**	.932**	.908**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	
	N	75	75	75	75

\*\* . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

**Correlations**

		y1	y2	y3	y4	Y
y1	Pearson Correlation	1	.822**	.715**	.469**	.873**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75
y2	Pearson Correlation	.822**	1	.792**	.471**	.897**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000	.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75
y3	Pearson Correlation	.715**	.792**	1	.683**	.924**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000	.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75
y4	Pearson Correlation	.469**	.471**	.683**	1	.757**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000		.000
	N	75	75	75	75	75
Y	Pearson Correlation	.873**	.897**	.924**	.757**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	75	75	75	75	75

\*\* . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

## Reliability

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	75	100.0
	Excluded <sup>a</sup>	0	.0
	Total	75	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.935	5

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
x1.1	15.37	11.210	.700	.944
x1.2	15.21	10.575	.900	.906
x1.3	15.13	10.495	.869	.911
x1.4	15.27	11.171	.807	.923
x1.5	15.33	10.847	.867	.912

## Reliability

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	75	100.0
	Excluded <sup>a</sup>	0	.0
	Total	75	100.0

### Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	75	100.0
	Excluded <sup>a</sup>	0	.0
	Total	75	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

### Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.889	4

### Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
x2.1	10.87	8.820	.681	.885
x2.2	11.12	7.323	.809	.837
x2.3	11.04	7.498	.764	.856
x2.4	10.93	7.982	.785	.847

#### RELIABILITY

```
/VARIABLES=x3.1 x3.2 x3.3 x3.4 x3.5  
/SCALE('ALL VARIABLES') ALL  
/MODEL=ALPHA  
  
/SUMMARY=TOTAL.
```

## Reliability

### Scale: ALL VARIABLES

### Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	75	100.0
	Excluded <sup>a</sup>	0	.0
	Total	75	100.0

### Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	75	100.0
	Excluded <sup>a</sup>	0	.0
	Total	75	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

### Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.924	5

### Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
x3.1	14.31	12.648	.873	.893
x3.2	14.35	12.121	.927	.881
x3.3	14.41	12.408	.856	.896
x3.4	14.28	12.799	.838	.900
x3.5	14.60	15.189	.532	.954

### RELIABILITY

```
/VARIABLES=x4.1 x4.2 x4.3 x4.4 x4.5 x4.6  
/SCALE('ALL VARIABLES') ALL  
/MODEL=ALPHA
```

```
/SUMMARY=TOTAL.
```

## Reliability

### Scale: ALL VARIABLES

#### Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	75	100.0
	Excluded <sup>a</sup>	0	.0
	Total	75	100.0

### Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	75	100.0
	Excluded <sup>a</sup>	0	.0
	Total	75	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

### Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.924	6

### Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
x4.1	19.36	19.369	.682	.924
x4.2	19.15	18.478	.857	.901
x4.3	19.27	19.712	.776	.912
x4.4	19.25	18.597	.818	.906
x4.5	19.36	18.558	.728	.919
x4.6	19.35	18.311	.851	.901

### RELIABILITY

```
/VARIABLES=x5.1 x5.2 x5.3 x5.4
```

```
/SCALE('ALL VARIABLES') ALL
```

```
/MODEL=ALPHA
```

```
/SUMMARY=TOTAL.
```

## Reliability

**Scale: ALL VARIABLES**

### Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	75	100.0
	Excluded <sup>a</sup>	0	.0
	Total	75	100.0

### Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	75	100.0
	Excluded <sup>a</sup>	0	.0
	Total	75	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

### Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.943	4

### Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
x5.1	11.17	7.226	.911	.910
x5.2	11.24	7.834	.843	.932
x5.3	11.32	7.248	.881	.920
x5.4	11.19	7.992	.824	.938

#### RELIABILITY

```
/VARIABLES=x6.1 x6.2 x6.3  
/SCALE('ALL VARIABLES') ALL  
/MODEL=ALPHA  
  
/SUMMARY=TOTAL.
```

## Reliability

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

### Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	75	100.0
	Excluded <sup>a</sup>	0	.0
	Total	75	100.0



### Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	75	100.0
	Excluded <sup>a</sup>	0	.0
	Total	75	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

### Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.916	3

### Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
x6.1	7.39	3.321	.851	.862
x6.2	7.44	3.385	.846	.866
x6.3	7.47	3.495	.795	.908

```
RELIABILITY
/VARIABLES=y1 y2 y3 y4
/SCALE('ALL VARIABLES') ALL
/MODEL=ALPHA

/SUMMARY=TOTAL.
```

## Reliability

### Scale: ALL VARIABLES

#### Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	75	100.0
	Excluded <sup>a</sup>	0	.0
	Total	75	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

### Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.886	4

### Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
y1	11.47	5.577	.767	.847
y2	11.49	5.361	.805	.832
y3	11.35	5.338	.857	.812
y4	11.33	6.279	.585	.913

FACTOR

```

/VARIABLES x1.1 x1.2 x1.3 x1.4 x1.5 x2.1 x2.2 x2.3 x2.4 x3.1 x3.2 x3.3 x3.4 x3.5 x4.1 x4.2 x4.3 x
4.4 x4.5 x4.6 x5.1 x5.2 x5.3 x5.4
x6.1 x6.2 x6.3 y1 y2 y3 y4
/MISSING LISTWISE
/ANALYSIS x1.1 x1.2 x1.3 x1.4 x1.5 x2.1 x2.2 x2.3 x2.4 x3.1 x3.2 x3.3 x3.4 x3.5 x4.1 x4.2 x4.3 x4
.4 x4.5 x4.6 x5.1 x5.2 x5.3 x5.4
x6.1 x6.2 x6.3 y1 y2 y3 y4
/PRINT INITIAL KMO AIC EXTRACTION
/CRITERIA MINEIGEN(1) ITERATE(25)
/EXTRACTION PC
/ROTATION NOROTATE

/METHOD=CORRELATION.

```

## Factor Analysis

### KMO and Bartlett's Test

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy.		.892
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	Approx. Chi-Square	2.950E3
	df	465
	Sig.	.000

A  
r  
t  
i  
-  
i  
r  
e  
c  
e  
l  
e  
b  
r  
a  
t  
o  
r  
y  
c  
e  
s

		x1.1	x1.2	x1.3	x1.4	x1.5	x2.1	x2.2
Anti-image Covariance	x1.1	.198	-.017	-.023	.027	.002	-.029	.0
	x1.2	-.017	.085	-.046	-.014	-.023	-.014	.0
	x1.3	-.023	-.046	.102	-.013	-.003	.025	-.0
	x1.4	.027	-.014	-.013	.144	-.025	-.027	.0

x1.5	.002	-.023	-.003	-.025	.059	-.018	-.0
x2.1	-.029	-.014	.025	-.027	-.018	.124	.0
x2.2	.018	.022	-.004	.010	-.041	.017	.1
x2.3	.009	-.022	.006	.030	.039	-.021	-.0
x2.4	-.009	-.003	-.013	.001	.004	-.009	-.0
x3.1	-.003	.007	-.002	-.031	-.008	.019	.0
x3.2	-.003	.004	-.005	.027	.008	-.004	-.0
x3.3	-.015	.013	-.004	.007	-.012	-.014	.0

x3.4	.008	-.012	.020	-.030	-.001	.016	.0
x3.5	.011	-.064	.047	.056	.011	-.015	-.0
x4.1	-.018	.028	-.041	.016	-.013	-.037	.0
x4.2	-.024	.009	-.012	-.018	-.005	.056	.0
x4.3	-.024	-.015	.013	.005	.001	.009	-.0
x4.4	-.001	.004	-.029	.006	.005	-.034	-.0
x4.5	-.023	-.004	.020	-.008	.016	-.032	.0
x4.6	.036	-.009	.028	.001	.014	.006	.0

x5.1	.001	.019	-.009	-.005	-.026	-.008	.0
x5.2	-.010	.007	-.012	.007	.003	-.035	-.0
x5.3	.009	.003	.003	-.007	-.004	.024	.0
x5.4	.031	-.036	.001	-.019	.018	.027	-.0
x6.1	.002	-.024	.015	-.003	.010	-.019	-.0
x6.2	-.021	.015	-.002	.008	-.010	.015	.0
x6.3	-.007	-.029	-.004	.013	.002	-.012	.0
y1	-.034	.010	.010	.019	-.019	.002	.0

	y2	.022	-.016	.009	-.003	.030	.003	-.0
	y3	.019	-.005	.001	.000	-.032	.002	.0
	y4	.019	-.018	-.014	-.007	.022	-.031	-.0
Anti-image Correlation	x1.1	.957 <sup>a</sup>	-.132	-.162	.160	.016	-.182	.0
	x1.2	-.132	.904 <sup>a</sup>	-.489	-.128	-.317	-.137	.1
	x1.3	-.162	-.489	.917 <sup>a</sup>	-.110	-.040	.224	-.0
	x1.4	.160	-.128	-.110	.933 <sup>a</sup>	-.267	-.203	.0
	x1.5	.016	-.317	-.040	-.267	.909 <sup>a</sup>	-.209	-.4

x2.1									
x2.2									
x2.3									
x2.4									
x3.1									
x3.2									
x3.3									
x3.4									

x2.1

-.182

-.137

.224

-.203

-.209

.895<sup>a</sup>

.1

x2.2

.098

.185

-.027

.063

-.406

.118

.9

x2.3

.042

-.166

.044

.173

.352

-.130

-.4

x2.4

-.057

-.027

-.119

.009

.048

-.074

-.3

x3.1

-.027

.104

-.031

-.359

-.141

.231

.1

x3.2

-.029

.069

-.076

.352

.154

-.058

-.1

x3.3

-.153

.207

-.053

.086

-.221

-.185

.0

x3.4

.084

-.184

.276

-.356

-.024

.209

.0



x3.5	.040	-.359	.240	.243	.075	-.069	-.0
x4.1	-.130	.303	-.402	.136	-.172	-.325	.0
x4.2	-.174	.097	-.119	-.153	-.069	.508	.0
x4.3	-.168	-.155	.128	.041	.011	.080	-.0
x4.4	-.014	.059	-.391	.063	.089	-.412	-.2
x4.5	-.106	-.025	.133	-.044	.140	-.187	.0
x4.6	.267	-.103	.281	.009	.192	.053	.0
x5.1	.012	.287	-.130	-.056	-.465	-.105	.1

x5.2								
x5.3								
x5.4								
x6.1								
x6.2								
x6.3								
y1								
y2								

y3								
	.114	-.046	.006	.003	-.354	.013	.0	
y4								
	.074	-.102	-.075	-.031	.155	-.148	-.0	

a. Measures of Sampling Adequacy(MSA)

**Communalities**

	Initial	Extraction
x1.1	1.000	.696
x1.2	1.000	.830
x1.3	1.000	.807
x1.4	1.000	.722
x1.5	1.000	.896
x2.1	1.000	.748
x2.2	1.000	.655
x2.3	1.000	.616
x2.4	1.000	.841
x3.1	1.000	.881
x3.2	1.000	.878
x3.3	1.000	.778
x3.4	1.000	.881
x3.5	1.000	.309
x4.1	1.000	.726
x4.2	1.000	.856
x4.3	1.000	.871
x4.4	1.000	.907
x4.5	1.000	.705
x4.6	1.000	.749
x5.1	1.000	.886
x5.2	1.000	.802
x5.3	1.000	.771

x5.4	1.000	.750
x6.1	1.000	.873
x6.2	1.000	.765
x6.3	1.000	.717
y1	1.000	.813
y2	1.000	.806
y3	1.000	.888
y4	1.000	.607

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

**Total Variance Explained**

Component	Initial Eigenvalues			Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings		
	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	18.575	59.920	59.920	18.575	59.920	59.920
2	2.646	8.534	68.454	2.646	8.534	68.454
3	1.625	5.242	73.696	1.625	5.242	73.696
4	1.186	3.824	77.520	1.186	3.824	77.520
5	.972	3.134	80.654			
6	.914	2.948	83.602			
7	.601	1.939	85.541			
8	.591	1.908	87.449			
9	.545	1.759	89.208			
10	.429	1.384	90.592			
11	.391	1.261	91.853			
12	.360	1.161	93.014			
13	.274	.885	93.899			
14	.261	.842	94.741			
15	.237	.765	95.507			
16	.186	.601	96.108			
17	.166	.536	96.643			
18	.152	.490	97.133			
19	.139	.449	97.582			
20	.115	.369	97.951			
21	.104	.335	98.286			
22	.096	.310	98.596			

23	.089	.288	98.884		
24	.085	.273	99.157		
25	.061	.196	99.354		
26	.054	.175	99.529		
27	.045	.145	99.674		
28	.044	.142	99.817		
29	.022	.072	99.888		
30	.019	.062	99.950		
31	.015	.050	100.000		

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

**Component Matrix<sup>a</sup>**

	Component			
	1	2	3	4
x1.1	.772	-.284	.079	.110
x1.2	.830	.001	-.373	-.055
x1.3	.803	-.196	-.332	.118
x1.4	.779	-.071	-.254	-.216
x1.5	.884	.010	-.274	-.198
x2.1	.794	-.028	-.146	-.310
x2.2	.796	.102	-.085	.061
x2.3	.730	.061	.230	.164
x2.4	.873	-.030	.280	-.009
x3.1	.871	.073	.268	-.210
x3.2	.831	.064	.360	-.229
x3.3	.866	.011	.166	.021
x3.4	.828	-.069	.422	-.112
x3.5	.512	-.172	.088	-.098
x4.1	.758	-.158	.325	-.145
x4.2	.799	-.119	.175	.417
x4.3	.782	-.091	-.209	.456
x4.4	.820	-.157	-.175	.424
x4.5	.724	-.110	.386	.140
x4.6	.817	-.071	.154	.232
x5.1	.920	.009	-.183	-.079
x5.2	.850	-.061	-.117	-.249

x5.3	.835	-.090	-.252	-.043
x5.4	.807	-.107	-.292	.047
x6.1	.904	-.007	-.056	-.230
x6.2	.862	-.068	-.128	.032
x6.3	.840	.023	.031	-.102
y1	.516	.698	.188	.154
y2	.498	.739	-.047	.092
y3	.378	.854	-.124	-.016
y4	.153	.762	-.052	.021

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

a. 4 components extracted.

## Factor Analysis

### Notes

Output Created		12-Mar-2021 12:30:28
Comments		
Input	Active Dataset	DataSet2
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	75
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	MISSING=EXCLUDE: User-defined missing values are treated as missing.
	Cases Used	LISTWISE: Statistics are based on cases with no missing values for any variable used.

Syntax

FACTOR

```
/VARIABLES x1.1 x1.2 x1.3 x1.4 x1.5 x2.1
x2.2 x2.3 x2.4 x3.1 x3.2 x3.3 x3.4 x4.1 x4.2
x4.3 x4.4 x4.5 x4.6 x5.1 x5.2 x5.3 x5.4 x6.1
x6.2 x6.3 y1 y2 y3 y4
/MISSING LISTWISE
/ANALYSIS x1.1 x1.2 x1.3 x1.4 x1.5 x2.1
x2.2 x2.3 x2.4 x3.1 x3.2 x3.3 x3.4 x4.1 x4.2
x4.3 x4.4 x4.5 x4.6 x5.1 x5.2 x5.3 x5.4 x6.1
x6.2 x6.3 y1 y2 y3 y4
/PRINT INITIAL KMO AIC EXTRACTION
/CRITERIA MINEIGEN(1) ITERATE(25)
/EXTRACTION PC
/ROTATION NOROTATE
/METHOD=CORRELATION.
```

Resources

Processor Time

00:00:00.000

Elapsed Time

00:00:00.016

Maximum Memory Required

105000 (102,539K) bytes

[DataSet2]

**KMO and Bartlett's Test**

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy.		.898
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	Approx. Chi-Square	2.903E3
	df	435
	Sig.	.000

**Communalities**

	Initial	Extraction
x1.1	1.000	.693
x1.2	1.000	.830
x1.3	1.000	.807
x1.4	1.000	.733
x1.5	1.000	.895
x2.1	1.000	.759

x2.2	1.000	.655
x2.3	1.000	.619
x2.4	1.000	.843
x3.1	1.000	.874
x3.2	1.000	.862
x3.3	1.000	.774
x3.4	1.000	.880
x4.1	1.000	.742
x4.2	1.000	.855
x4.3	1.000	.875
x4.4	1.000	.907
x4.5	1.000	.713
x4.6	1.000	.750
x5.1	1.000	.884
x5.2	1.000	.802
x5.3	1.000	.771
x5.4	1.000	.751
x6.1	1.000	.876
x6.2	1.000	.764
x6.3	1.000	.718
y1	1.000	.814
y2	1.000	.811
y3	1.000	.888
y4	1.000	.605

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

**Total Variance Explained**

Component	Initial Eigenvalues			Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings		
	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	18.324	61.080	61.080	18.324	61.080	61.080
2	2.624	8.748	69.828	2.624	8.748	69.828
3	1.621	5.403	75.230	1.621	5.403	75.230
4	1.183	3.943	79.173	1.183	3.943	79.173
5	.939	3.131	82.304			
6	.713	2.378	84.681			



7	.597	1.990	86.671		
8	.545	1.818	88.489		
9	.438	1.459	89.948		
10	.392	1.307	91.255		
11	.361	1.202	92.458		
12	.318	1.060	93.517		
13	.272	.907	94.424		
14	.241	.805	95.229		
15	.186	.621	95.850		
16	.170	.568	96.418		
17	.153	.511	96.929		
18	.139	.464	97.393		
19	.119	.398	97.791		
20	.110	.367	98.158		
21	.101	.337	98.494		
22	.094	.313	98.808		
23	.087	.291	99.098		
24	.063	.210	99.309		
25	.055	.183	99.491		
26	.047	.157	99.649		
27	.045	.150	99.798		
28	.025	.084	99.882		
29	.019	.064	99.947		
30	.016	.053	100.000		

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

**Component Matrix<sup>a</sup>**

	Component			
	1	2	3	4
x1.1	.770	-.285	.081	.112
x1.2	.830	-.004	-.373	-.054
x1.3	.804	-.207	-.325	.111
x1.4	.782	-.085	-.244	-.235
x1.5	.884	.006	-.274	-.197
x2.1	.796	-.038	-.140	-.324
x2.2	.798	.095	-.082	.059

x2.3	.733	.050	.241	.144
x2.4	.873	-.033	.283	-.015
x3.1	.869	.076	.264	-.206
x3.2	.828	.071	.352	-.217
x3.3	.864	.014	.161	.033
x3.4	.826	-.068	.423	-.118
x4.1	.759	-.165	.334	-.166
x4.2	.797	-.119	.178	.417
x4.3	.781	-.095	-.207	.462
x4.4	.821	-.167	-.167	.421
x4.5	.726	-.118	.397	.122
x4.6	.819	-.082	.166	.213
x5.1	.919	.007	-.185	-.071
x5.2	.850	-.065	-.117	-.250
x5.3	.835	-.095	-.252	-.035
x5.4	.807	-.113	-.291	.053
x6.1	.905	-.013	-.054	-.233
x6.2	.861	-.071	-.127	.033
x6.3	.840	.018	.033	-.104
y1	.519	.697	.183	.157
y2	.501	.739	-.055	.104
y3	.382	.851	-.130	-.013
y4	.157	.760	-.057	.019

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

a. 4 components extracted.

FACTOR

/VARIABLES x1.1 x1.2 x1.3 x1.4 x1.5 x2.1 x2.2 x2.3 x2.4 x3.1 x3.2 x3.3 x3.4 x4.1 x4.2 x4.3 x4.4 x4.5 x4.6 x5.1 x5.2 x5.3 x5.4 x6.1 x6.2 x6.3 y1 y2 y3 y4

/MISSING LISTWISE

/ANALYSIS x1.1 x1.2 x1.3 x1.4 x1.5 x2.1 x2.2 x2.3 x2.4 x3.1 x3.2 x3.3 x3.4 x4.1 x4.2 x4.3 x4.4 x4.5 x4.6 x5.1 x5.2 x5.3 x5.4 x6.1 x6.2 x6.3 y1 y2 y3 y4

/PRINT INITIAL KMO AIC EXTRACTION ROTATION

/PLOT ROTATION

/CRITERIA MINEIGEN(1) ITERATE(25)

/EXTRACTION PC

/CRITERIA ITERATE(25)

/ROTATION VARIMAX

/SAVE REG(ALL)

/METHOD=CORRELATION.

## Factor Analysis

**KMO and Bartlett's Test**

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy.		.911
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	Approx. Chi-Square	2.615E3
	df	325
	Sig.	.000

**Communalities**

	Initial	Extraction
x1.1	1.000	.656
x1.2	1.000	.822
x1.3	1.000	.802
x1.4	1.000	.712
x1.5	1.000	.892
x2.1	1.000	.748
x2.2	1.000	.631
x2.3	1.000	.602
x2.4	1.000	.837
x3.1	1.000	.866
x3.2	1.000	.867
x3.3	1.000	.772
x3.4	1.000	.881
x4.1	1.000	.705
x4.2	1.000	.861
x4.3	1.000	.873
x4.4	1.000	.900
x4.5	1.000	.726
x4.6	1.000	.756
x5.1	1.000	.885
x5.2	1.000	.807
x5.3	1.000	.775
x5.4	1.000	.752
x6.1	1.000	.876
x6.2	1.000	.766
x6.3	1.000	.715

**Communalities**

	Initial	Extraction
x1.1	1.000	.656
x1.2	1.000	.822
x1.3	1.000	.802
x1.4	1.000	.712
x1.5	1.000	.892
x2.1	1.000	.748
x2.2	1.000	.631
x2.3	1.000	.602
x2.4	1.000	.837
x3.1	1.000	.866
x3.2	1.000	.867
x3.3	1.000	.772
x3.4	1.000	.881
x4.1	1.000	.705
x4.2	1.000	.861
x4.3	1.000	.873
x4.4	1.000	.900
x4.5	1.000	.726
x4.6	1.000	.756
x5.1	1.000	.885
x5.2	1.000	.807
x5.3	1.000	.775
x5.4	1.000	.752
x6.1	1.000	.876
x6.2	1.000	.766
x6.3	1.000	.715

Extraction Method: Principal Component  
Analysis.

**Total Variance Explained**

Component	Initial Eigenvalues			Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings			Rotation Sums of Squared Loadings		
	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	17.716	68.137	68.137	17.716	68.137	68.137	8.188	31.491	31.491
2	1.578	6.068	74.206	1.578	6.068	74.206	7.392	28.429	59.920
3	1.193	4.590	78.796	1.193	4.590	78.796	4.908	18.876	78.796
4	.932	3.583	82.379						
5	.691	2.657	85.036						
6	.530	2.040	87.076						
7	.472	1.815	88.891						
8	.413	1.587	90.479						
9	.357	1.371	91.850						
10	.320	1.230	93.080						
11	.288	1.106	94.186						
12	.216	.831	95.017						
13	.180	.694	95.711						
14	.174	.668	96.379						
15	.151	.582	96.962						
16	.137	.526	97.488						
17	.121	.464	97.951						
18	.108	.417	98.368						
19	.095	.364	98.732						
20	.085	.328	99.059						
21	.066	.253	99.313						
22	.056	.216	99.528						
23	.053	.203	99.732						

24	.030	.114	99.846					
25	.023	.087	99.933					
26	.017	.067	100.000					

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

**Component Matrix<sup>a</sup>**

	Component		
	1	2	3
x1.1	.785	.051	.195
x1.2	.828	-.365	-.060
x1.3	.814	-.345	.140
x1.4	.787	-.226	-.203
x1.5	.883	-.255	-.218
x2.1	.799	-.110	-.313
x2.2	.790	-.077	-.018
x2.3	.729	.240	.116
x2.4	.873	.274	-.008
x3.1	.864	.284	-.197
x3.2	.824	.378	-.215
x3.3	.862	.173	.011
x3.4	.829	.435	-.063
x4.1	.768	.331	-.080
x4.2	.800	.142	.449
x4.3	.783	-.233	.453
x4.4	.827	-.197	.421
x4.5	.731	.390	.197
x4.6	.823	.152	.238
x5.1	.917	-.177	-.115
x5.2	.854	-.102	-.259
x5.3	.840	-.251	-.077
x5.4	.812	-.302	.030
x6.1	.905	-.037	-.235
x6.2	.864	-.130	.049
x6.3	.838	.040	-.108

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

a. 3 components extracted.

**Rotated Component Matrix<sup>a</sup>**

	Component		
	1	2	3
x1.1	.378	.487	.526
x1.2	.773	.217	.421
x1.3	.651	.199	.582
x1.4	.740	.320	.249
x1.5	.826	.357	.288
x2.1	.737	.433	.135
x2.2	.563	.416	.375
x2.3	.274	.612	.390
x2.4	.410	.742	.345
x3.1	.495	.768	.177
x3.2	.424	.820	.122
x3.3	.451	.653	.379
x3.4	.318	.850	.242
x4.1	.346	.732	.221
x4.2	.207	.535	.729
x4.3	.409	.229	.808
x4.4	.433	.287	.794
x4.5	.148	.722	.427
x4.6	.323	.583	.558
x5.1	.751	.425	.375
x5.2	.740	.466	.206
x5.3	.724	.316	.388
x5.4	.681	.246	.477
x6.1	.724	.544	.236
x6.2	.607	.410	.480
x6.3	.572	.549	.295

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

a. Rotation converged in 8 iterations.




### Component Transformation Matrix

Component	1	2	3
1	.645	.601	.473
2	-.572	.789	-.222
3	-.507	-.127	.853

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

### Component Plot in Rotated Space



```

REGRESSION
/DESCRIPTIVES MEAN STDDEV CORR SIG N
/MISSING LISTWISE
/STATISTICS COEFF OUTS R ANOVA CHANGE
/CRITERIA=PIN(.05) POUT(.10)
/NOORIGIN
/DEPENDENT Y
/METHOD=ENTER F1 F2 F3

/RESIDUALS HIST(ZRESID) .
    
```

## Regression

### Notes

Output Created	12-Mar-2021 12:42:28	
Comments		
Input	Active Dataset	DataSet2
	Filter	<none>

	Weight	<none>	
	Split File	<none>	
	N of Rows in Working Data File		75
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing.	
	Cases Used	Statistics are based on cases with no missing values for any variable used.	
Syntax		REGRESSION /DESCRIPTIVES MEAN STDDEV CORR SIG N /MISSING LISTWISE /STATISTICS COEFF OUTS R ANOVA CHANGE /CRITERIA=PIN(.05) POUT(.10) /NOORIGIN /DEPENDENT Y /METHOD=ENTER F1 F2 F3 /RESIDUALS HIST(ZRESID).	
Resources	Processor Time		00:00:00.156
	Elapsed Time		00:00:00.125
	Memory Required		3252 bytes
	Additional Memory Required for Residual Plots		312 bytes

[DataSet2]

### Descriptive Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Y	15.21	3.108	75
Kondisi Jalan dan Ekonomi	.00	1.000	75
Akseibilitas	.00	1.000	75
Sosial	.00	1.000	75

**Correlations**

		Y	Kondisi Jalan dan Ekonomi	Akseibilitas	Sosial
Pearson Correlation	Y	1.000	.292	.274	.084
	Kondisi Jalan dan Ekonomi	.292	1.000	.000	.000
	Akseibilitas	.274	.000	1.000	.000
	Sosial	.084	.000	.000	1.000
Sig. (1-tailed)	Y	.	.006	.009	.237
	Kondisi Jalan dan Ekonomi	.006	.	.500	.500
	Akseibilitas	.009	.500	.	.500
	Sosial	.237	.500	.500	.
N	Y	75	75	75	75
	Kondisi Jalan dan Ekonomi	75	75	75	75
	Akseibilitas	75	75	75	75
	Sosial	75	75	75	75

**Variables Entered/Removed<sup>b</sup>**

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	Sosial, Akseibilitas, Kondisi Jalan dan Ekonomi <sup>a</sup>		Enter

a. All requested variables entered.

b. Dependent Variable: Y

**Model Summary<sup>b</sup>**

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Change Statistics			
					R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2
1	.409 <sup>a</sup>	.167	.132	2.895	.167	4.753	3	71

a. Predictors: (Constant), Sosial, Akseibilitas, Kondisi Jalan dan Ekonomi

b. Dependent Variable: Y

**ANOVA<sup>b</sup>**

Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
-------	----------------	----	-------------	---	------

1	Regression	119.506	3	39.835	4.753	.004 <sup>a</sup>
	Residual	595.081	71	8.381		
	Total	714.587	74			

a. Predictors: (Constant), Sosial, Akseibilitas, Kondisi Jalan dan Ekonomi

b. Dependent Variable: Y

#### Coefficients<sup>a</sup>

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	15.213	.334		45.509	.000
	Kondisi Jalan dan Ekonomi	.907	.337	.292	2.695	.009
	Akseibilitas	.851	.337	.274	2.530	.014
	Sosial	.260	.337	.084	.773	.442

a. Dependent Variable: Y

#### Residuals Statistics<sup>a</sup>


	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Predicted Value	11.31	17.11	15.21	1.271	75
Residual	-7.661	7.816	.000	2.836	75
Std. Predicted Value	-3.072	1.494	.000	1.000	75
Std. Residual	-2.646	2.700	.000	.980	75

a. Dependent Variable: Y

## Charts

# Histogram

Dependent Variable: Y



Mean =9.02E-17  
Std. Dev. =0.98  
N =75