

## **CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS**

Based on the explanation that has been presented in the previous chapter, the study about the use of Linguistic Landscape in Public spaces In Padang and the relationship between English Mastery and society' language attitude toward linguistic landscape, the researcher concludes the results of this research accompanied by suggestions are expected to be useful the continuation of research in the future.

### **4.2 Conclusion**

Based on the findings described in chapter 4, the following conclusions can be drawn. The first is the use of linguistic landscapes in public places. there is a difference in the use of the linguistic landscape at the Minangkabau international airport with the Transmart Mall Padang. The dominant type of linguistic landscape in transmart malls is Bottom-up type due to many commercial activities such as store names, trademarks and private announcements. while at Minangkabau International Airport, the dominant type of linguistic landscape is Top-down because there is a lot of information containing instructions or announcements given by the airport which is part of the BUMN (Government).

The second point is the relationship between English mastery and society's language attitude towards the linguistic landscape in the public space. To measure the level of English proficiency of the community, PET Test is given and to see the language attitude of the community, a questionnaire is given. both data are collected and compiled from cluster sampling in the community, namely students, lecturers or

teachers, entrepreneurs and employees. The data obtained were then tabulated and analyzed using the SPSS application with the Pearson correlation formula. test results show a high negative correlation between the two variables. The lower the community's English proficiency, the higher the public's disturbed attitude towards the use of English signs in public places.

### **4.3 Suggestion**

Based on the findings above, there are several suggestions regarding this research. Research on the linguistic landscape can be done in various places around us, schools, markets, offices and residential environments because this research is new approach from a very interesting branch of sociolinguistics. Research on the relationship between English mastery and society's language attitudes is new research in the field of linguistic landscape, in the future it can be developed by looking at society's English language skills based on different ability groups, or looking at relationships based on gender, age and so on, so that research can be more comprehensive.

## REFERENCE

- Andriyanti, E. (2019). Linguistic landscape at Yogyakarta's senior high schools in multilingual context: Patterns and representation. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 9(1), 85–97. <https://doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v9i1.13841>
- Ayuningsi, R. (2021). *Linguistic Landscape in Public Places in Makassar and Visitors' Language Attitude*. Alauddin State Islamic University Makassar.
- Backhaus, P. (2006). Multilingualism in Tokyo: A look into the linguistic landscape. *International Journal of Multilingualism*, 3(1), 52–66. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14790710608668385>
- Ben-Rafael, E., Shohamy, E., Hasan Amara, M., & Trumper-Hecht, N. (2006). Linguistic Landscape as Symbolic Construction of the Public Space: The Case of Israel. *International Journal of Multilingualism*, 3(1), 7–30.
- Bodnar, J. (2015). Reclaiming public space. *Urban Studies*, 52(12), 2090–2104. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098015583626>
- Branco, M., Branco, C., Merouani, H., & Almeida, M. H. (2002). Germination success, survival and seedling vigour of *Quercus suber* acorns in relation to insect damage. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 166(1–3), 159–164. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127\(01\)00669-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(01)00669-7)
- Carr, S., Francis, M., Rivlin, L. G., & Stone, A. M. (1992). *Public Space*. United State of America: Cambridge University Press.
- CRESWELL, J. W. (2009). *RESEARCH DESIGN Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (Third). SAGE Publications.
- Fishman, J. A. (1968). Sociolinguistic perspective on the study of bilingualism. *Linguistics*, 6(39), 21–49. <https://doi.org/10.1515/ling.1968.6.39.21>
- Hardika, V. (2019). *Linguistic Landscape in Public Space: A Study of Sign in Kualanamu International Airport*. University of Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara.
- Kara, A. (2009). The effect of a “learning theories” unit on students’ attitudes toward learning. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 34(3), 100–113. Retrieved from <https://search.informit.org/doi/10.3316/aeipt.181110>
- Khotari, C. R. (2004). Research Methodology Methods & Techniques. In *New Age International (P) Limited* (2nd ed.). New Delhi.
- Landry, R., & Bourhis, R. Y. (1997). Linguistic landscape and ethnolinguistic vitality: An empirical study. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 16(1), 23–49.
- Mensch, J. (2007). Public Space. *Cont Philos Rev*, 40, 31–47.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11007-006-9038-x>

- Mohammed, A. H., Bin Taib, C. A., & a/l S.R. Nadarajan, S. (2016). Mapping the Relationship among Quality Management Practices, Organizational Learning, Organizational Culture, and Organizational Performance in Higher Education: A Proposed Framework. *American Journal of Industrial and Business Management*, 06(04), 401–410. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ajibm.2016.64036>
- Nasution, M. N. (2020). *A Study of Linguistic Landscape at Tourism Place in Medan*. University of Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara.
- Pallant, J. (2011). *SPSS Survival Manual* (4th ed.). ALLEN&UNWIN.
- Richards, J. C., & Schmidt, R. W. (2013). Longman Dictionary of Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics. *Longman Dictionary of Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315833835>
- Spolsky, B. (2004). *Language Policy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Thamrin, T. (2018). The Language Attitudes of Minangkabau People Towards Minangkabau and Indonesian Language. *International Journal of Language Teaching and Education*, 2(2), 157–175. <https://doi.org/10.22437/ijolte.v2i2.5065>
- WARDHAUGH, R. F., & M., J. (2015). *AN INTRODUCTION TO SOCIOLINGUISTICS*.
- Watson, R. (2001). *SPSS Survival Manual* by Julie Pallant, Open University Press, Buckingham, 2001, 286 pages, f16.99, ISBN 0 335 20890 8. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 36(3), 478–478. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2648.2001.2027c.x>
- Yendra, Y., Artawa, K., Suparwa, I. N., & Satyawati, M. S. (2020). Symbolic Functions of Graffiti in Padang City of Indonesia: Critical Linguistic Landscape Studies. *Jurnal Arbitrer*, 7(1), 100. <https://doi.org/10.25077/ar.7.1.100-108.2020>
- Zahra, S. T., Setia, E., & Zein, T. (2021). Linguistic landscape on coffee shop signboards in Medan. *Budapest International Research and Critics Institute-Journal (BIRCI-Journal)*, 4(3), 5445–5457.