

BAB V

KESIMPULAN DAN SARAN

5.1 Kesimpulan

Berdasarkan hasil penelitian yang telah diuraikan sebelumnya, maka dapat dikemukakan beberapa kesimpulan terhadap iklim dan perilaku keselamatan di PT. Sapta Jaya Abadi Nagari Lubuk Pinang Kabupaten Mukomuko sebagai berikut:

1. kepemimpinan transaksional berpengaruh positif terhadap iklim keselamatan pada PT. Sapta Jaya Abadi Nagari Lubuk Pinang Kabupaten Mukomuko.
2. Kepemimpinan transaksional berpengaruh positif terhadap perilaku keselamatan pada PT. Sapta Jaya Abadi Nagari Lubuk Pinang Kabupaten Mukomuko.
3. Praktek komunikasi tidak berpengaruh terhadap iklim keselamatan pada PT. Sapta Jaya Abadi Nagari Lubuk Pinang Kabupaten Mukomuko.
4. Praktek komunikasi berpengaruh positif terhadap perilaku keselamatan pada PT. Sapta Jaya Abadi Nagari Lubuk Pinang Kabupaten Mukomuko.

5.2 Implikasi Penelitian

1. implikasi secara praktis bagi pihak perusahaan yaitu berdasarkan penelitian yang berpengaruh terhadap iklim keselamatan adalah kepemimpinan transaksional, ini bisa menjadi acuan bagi perusahaan agar pemimpin selalu memberi arahan kepada karyawan dan selalu memberi

imbalan terhadap apa yang dilakukan karyawan untuk meningkatkan keselamatan kerja karyawan di perusahaan tersebut.

2. Implikasi secara praktis bagi perusahan hasil penelitian ini yang berpengaruh terhadap perilaku keselamatan adalah kepemimpinan transaksional ini bisa menjadi pedoman bagi perusahan untuk meningkatkan perilaku keselamatan di perusahaan dapat dilakukan melalui meningkatkan imbalan yang diberikan kepada karyawan saat karyawan berhasil melaksanakan pekerjaan yang baik dan memberikan arahan dan sanksi saat karyawan lalai dalam bekerja, serta perilaku keselamatan dapat lebih ditingkatkan dengan menjalin sikap keselamatan dan komunikasi yang baik antar sesama karyawan maupun dengan pemimpin perusahaan.
3. Implikasi secara praktis bagi perusahaan hasil penelitian yang berpengaruh terhadap perilaku keselamatan adalah praktek komunikasi. Bagi perusahaan untuk meningkatkan perilaku keselamatan kerja diperusahaan dapat dilakukan dengan praktek komunikasi. Dimana perusahaan bisa memberikan informasi atau mengkomunikasikan kepada karyawan bahwa kesadaran perilaku keselamatan kerja itu sangat penting dilaksanakan baik secara kesadaran individu maupun kesadaran dari pihak perusahaan sehingga dapat menghindari kecelakaan kerja.

5.3 Keterbatasan Dan Saran Penelitian

Sebagaimana pada penelitian sebelumnya, penelitian ini memiliki keterbatasan-keterbatasan diantaranya:

1. Objek dalam penelitian ini hanya meneliti pada perusahaan cabang bukan perusahaan pusat, untuk penelitian selanjutnya disarankan untuk melakukan penelitian di perusahaan pusat atau perusahaan yang lebih besar dari penelitian ini.
 2. Responden dalam penelitian ini masih tergolong sedikit, disarankan pada penelitian selanjutnya untuk menggunakan jumlah responden yang lebih banyak agar mendapatkan hasil yang lebih akurat.
 3. Dalam penelitian ini hanya menggunakan variabel kepemimpinan transaksional dan praktek komunikasi sebagai variabel yang mempengaruhi ilklim dan perilaku keselamatan, untuk disarankan pada penelitian selanjutnya untuk menggunakan variabel lain karna masih banyak variabel lain yang mempengaruhi ilkim dan perilaku keselamatan, contohnya variabel kepemimpinan transformasional dan lingkungan kerja.
- .

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Ajslev, J., Lali, E., Dyreborg, J., Kines, P., Christiane, K., Sundstrup, E., ... Louis, L. (2017). Safety climate and accidents at work: Cross-sectional study among 15, 000 workers of the general working population. *Safety Science*, 91, 320–325
- Andi, et al. 2009. Model Persamaan Struktural Pengaruh Budaya Keselamatan Kerja pada Prilaku Pekerja Proyek Konstruksi. *Jurnal Teknik Sipil Universitas Petra Surabaya*. Vol. 12 No. 3 Juli 2009
- Anwar Prabu Mangkunegara. (2017). Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia Perusahaan. Bandung: Rosda.
- Ardi, A.K. (2016). Pengaruh Faktor-Faktor safety climate terhadap *Safety Behavior*. Skripsi: Universitas Gadjah Mada. Diakses pada tanggal 30 Januari 2017 melalui <http://library.ugm.ac.id/>
- Arikunto, 2004, Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktek, Edisi Kelima, Rineka Cipta, Jakarta.
- Athoillah, Anton. (2010). Dasar-dasar Manajemen. C.V Pustakasetia, Bandung.
- Bagozzi, R.P. and Yi, Y. (1988), “On the evaluation of structural equation models”, *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 16 No. 1, pp. 74-94.
- Bass, B.M., et al, “Predicting unit performance by assessing transformational and transactional leadership”. *Jurnal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 88, No. 2, 2003.
- Beus, J.M., Payne, S.C., Bergman, M.E. and Arthur, W. Jr (2010), “Safety climate and injuries: an examination of theoretical and empirical relationships”, *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 95 No. 4, pp. 713-727
- Burke, M. J., Sarpy, S., Tesluk, P. E., & Smith-Crowe, K. (2002). General Safety Performance: A Test Of A Grounded Theoretical Model. *Personnel Psychology*, 55(2), 429–457. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2002.tb00116.x>
- Chaboyer, W., McMurray, A., Wallis, M., & Chang, H. Y. (2008). Standard operating protocol for implementing bedside handover in nursing. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 7, 29-36.
- Chen, Y., McCabe, B., Hyatt, D., 2017b. Impact of individual resilience and safety climate on safety performance and psychological stress of

- construction workers: A case study of the Ontario construction industry. *Journal of Safety Research* 61, 167–176.
- Choi, B., Ahn, S., Lee, S.H., 2017. Role of social norms and social identifications in safety behavior of construction workers. I: Theoretical model of safety behavior under social influence. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management* 143 (5), 04016124.
- Christian, M.S., Bradley, J.C., Wallace, J.C., Burke, M.J., 2009. Workplace safety: A meta- analysis of the roles of person and situation factors. *Journal of Applied Psychology* 94 (5), 1103-1127
- Cigularov, K. P., Chen, P. Y., & Rosecrance, J. (2010). The effects of error management climate and safety communication on safety : A multi-level study. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 42(5), 1498–1506. doi:10.1016/j.aap.2010.01.003.
- Cigularov, K. P., Lancaster, P. G., Chen, P. Y., Gittleman, J., & Haile, E. (2013). Measurement equivalence of a safety climate measure among Hispanic and white non-Hispanic construction workers. *Safety Science*, 54, 58–68. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2012.11.006>
- Clarke, S. (2013), “Safety leadership: a meta-analytic review of transformational and transactional leadership styles as antecedents of safety behaviours”, *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, Vol. 86 No. 1, pp. 22-49.
- Cooper, D. (2016). Improving Safety Culture : A practical Guide
- Cooper, M.D., (2004), Exploratory Analysis of the Safety Climate and Safety Behavior Relationship, pp. 497– 512.
- Cooper, D. (2015). Effective safety leadership: Understanding types & styles that improve safety performance. *Professional Safety*, 60(2), 49–53. <http://www.asse.org/professional-safety/>
- Dharma., K. K. (2017). *Metodologi Penelitian Keperawatan*. Jakarta Timur: Trans Info Media (TIM).
- Edwin B. Flippo, (1976), “principles of personnel management”. Tokyo McGraw-Hill Kogakusha, Ltd.
- Eid, J., Mearns, K., Larsson, G., Laberg, J.C., Johnsen, B.H., 2012. Leadership, psychological capital and safety research: Conceptual issues and future research questions. *Safety Science* 50 (1), 55–61.

- Fang, D., Wu, C., Wu, H., 2015. Impact of the supervisor on worker safety behavior in construction projects. *Journal of Management in Engineering* 31 (6), 04015001.
- Farida. (2017). Pengaruh Gaya Kepemimpinan Transaksional dan Penempatan Kerja dan Kepuasan Kerja Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan Pada Karyawan Pabrik Keramik Di Mojosari, *Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen*, Vol 7, No. 2
- Fornell, C and Larcker, D. F. 1981. Evaluating Structural Equatuion Models with Unobservable Variables and Measurement Error. *Journal Of Marketing Research*, 18 (1): 39-50.
- Fruhen, L.S., Mearns, K.J., Flin, R. and Kirwan, B. (2014), “Skills, knowledge and senior managers’ demonstrations of safety commitment”, *Safety Science*, Vol. 69 No. SC, pp. 29-36.
- Ghozali, Imam, Hengky Latan. 2015. Konsep, Teknik, Aplikasi Menggunakan Smart PLS 3.0 Untuk Penelitian Empiris. BP Undip. Semarang
- Gillen, M., Baltz, D., Gassel, M., Kirsch, L.,& Vaccaro, D. (2002). Perceived safety climate, job demands, and coworker support among union and nonunion injured construction workers. *Journal of Safety Research*, 33(1), 33-51. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4375\(02\)00002-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4375(02)00002-6)
- Guldenmund, F. (2007), “The use of questionnaires in safety culture research – an evaluation”, *Safety Science*, Vol. 45 No. 6, pp. 723-743.
- Hilda, Noorhidayah & Arsyawin. (2017). Faktor – Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Penerapan Komunikasi Efektif Oleh Perawat Di Ruang Rawat Inap. *Mahakam Nursing Journal*. Vol2. No1. hlm 09- 17. ejournalperawat.poltekkeskaltim.ac.id/ojs/index.php/nursing/article/view/27.
- Hair, et al, 2014, Multivariate Data Analysis, New International Edition., New Jersey: Pearson.
- Hair, et al. 2010. Multivariate Data Analysis. 7th edition. New jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Hardison, D., Behm, M., Hallowell, M. and Fonooni, H. (2014), “Identifying construction supervisor competencies for effective site safety”, *Safety Science*, Vol. 65, pp. 45-53.
- Hofmann, D. A., Burke, M. J., & Zohar, D. (2017). 100 years of occupational safety research: From basic protections and work analysis to a multilevel

- view of workplace safety and risk. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 102(3), 1-13.
- Hopkins, A. (2006), "What are we to make of safe behaviour programs?", *Safety Science*, Vol. 44 No. 7, pp. 583-597.
- Hsu, S. H., Lee, C. C., Wu, M. C., & Takano, K. (2008). A cross-cultural study of organizational factors on safety: Japanese vs. Taiwanese oil refinery plants. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 40(1), 24–34. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2007.03.020>
- Hulland, J. (1999). Penggunaan PLS pada penelitian manajamen: a review of four recent studies. *Jurnal Strategis Manajemen*. 20 (2).
- Ismail, A., Mohamad, M.H., Mohamed, H.A., Rafiuddin, N.M., Zhen, K.W.P., 2011., Transformational and Transactional Leadership Styles as a Predictor of Individual Outcomes. *Theoretical and Applied Economics*, Vol. 17 No. 6(547), pp. 89 – 104.
- Jitwasinkul, B., Hadikusumo, B.H.W., Memon, A.Q., 2016. A bayesian belief network model of organizational factors for improving safe work behaviors in Thai construction industry. *Safety Science* 82 (3), 264–273.
- Keit Davis, (1985), *Human Behavior at Work: Organizational Behavior*. New Delhi: tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company.
- Kementerian Kesehatan RI, (2011). Peraturan menteri kesehatan nomor 169/MENKES/PER/VIII/2011 tentang keselamatan pasien rumah sakit.
- Kim, K.W., Park, S. J., Lim, H. S., & Cho, H. H. (2017). Safety Climate and Occupational Stress According to Occupational Accidents Experience and Employment Type in Shipbuilding Industry of Korea. *Safety and Health at Work*. 8(3), 290-295. doi: 10.1016/j.shaw.2017.08.002
- Kines, Pete., Lappalainen, Jorma., Mikkelsen, Kim Lyngby., Olsen, Espen., Pousette, Anders. (2011). Nordic Safety Climate Questionnaire (NOSACQ-50); A new tool for diagnosing occupational safety climate. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomic*, 41, 634-646
- Kreitner R., & Kinicki. A. (2014). Perilaku Organisasi. Buku 2. Edisi 9. Terj. Biro Bahasa Alkemis. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Lingard, H., Cooke, T. and Blismas, N. (2012), "Do perceptions of supervisors' safety responses mediate the relationship between perceptions of the organizational safety climate and incident rates in the construction supply

chain?”, Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, Vol. 138 No. 2, pp. 234-241.

Lingard, H.C., Cooke, T., Blismas, N., 2010. Safety climate in conditions of construction subcontracting: A multi-level analysis. Construction Management and Economics 28 (8), 813-825.

Lu, C.-S., & Yang, C.-S. (2010). leadership and safety behavior in container terminal operations. Safety Science, 48, 123–134.

Manuele, F.A., 2011. Reviewing Heinrich: Dislodging two myths from the practice of safety. Professional Safety 56, 52-61.

Neal, A. and Griffin, M. (2004), “Safety climate and safety at work”, in Barling, J. and Frone, M.R. (Eds), The Psychology of Workplace Safety, American Psychological Association, Washington, DC, pp. 15-34.

Neal, A., & Griffin, M.A. (2006). A Study of The Lagged Relationship Among Safety Climate, Safety Motivation, Safety Behavior, and Accidents at The Individual and Group Levels. Journal of Applied Psychology. 91(4), 946-953. doi:10.1037/0021- 9010.91.4.946

Neal, A., Gri, M. A., & Hart, P. M. (2000). The impact of organizational climate on safety climate and individual behavior. Journal Individual Behaviour, 34(1), 99–109.

Oktarina, Yetty & Yudi Abdullah, 2017, Komunikasi Dalam Perspektif Teori dan Praktik, Yogyakarta: Deepublish.

Probst, T. M. (2015). Organizational safety climate and supervisor safety enforcement: Multilevel explorations of the causes of accident underreporting. Journal of Applied Psychology.

Smith, T.D., Eldridge, F., & Dejoy, D.M. (2015). Safety-spesific Transformational and Passive Leadership Influence on Firefighter Safety Climate Perceptions and Safety Behavior Outcomes. Journal of Safety Science, Vol.86, 92-97

Snyder, Krauss, Chen, Finlinson, & Huang. (2008). Occupational Safety: Application of The Job Demand- Control-Support Model. Journal of Accident Analysis and Prevention, 40 (2008) page 1713-1723

Sugiyono. (2014). Metode Penelitian Pendidikan Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D. Bandung: Alfabeta.

Sugiyono. (2013). Statistika untuk penelitian. Bandung: Alfabeta.

- Salman, Satya Candrasari. (2014). Komunikasi, Institut Teknologi dan Bisnis Kalbis, Jakarta. 22 Jakarta Timur 13210.
- Subramaniam, C., Mohd Zin, M., & Nadir, S. R. (2013). Hubungan amalan pengurusan keselamatan dengan pematuhan keselamatan pekerjaan di Jabatan bomba dan penyelamat Malaysia. Jurnal Pengurusan, 37(2013), 133-142.
- Tse, H.H.M., Troth, A.C., Ashkanasy, N.M., Collins, A.L., 2018. Affect and leader-member exchange in the new millennium: A state-of-art review and guiding framework. *The Leadership Quarterly* 29 (1), 135-149.
- Winarno. 2013. Pembelajaran Pendidikan Kewarganegaraan Isi, Strategi dan Penilaian. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Xuesheng, D., & Wenbiao, S. (2012). 2012 International Symposium on Safety Science and Technology Research on the relationship between leadership and safety climate in coalmines Procedia Engineering 45, 214 – 219.
- Younger, S. , (2019). Exploring the roleof climate for innovationon the relationship between leadership style and nurses' perception of patient safety.
- Zohar, D. (1980). Safety climate in idustrial organization: theoretical and applied implications. *The Journal of Applied psychology*, 65(1), 96-102. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.65.1.96>.
- Zohar, D., & Luria, G. (2005). A Multilevel Model of Safety Climate: Cross-Level Relationship Between Organization and Group-Level Climate. *Journal of Applied Psychology*. 90(4), 616-628.
- Zohar, D., 2010. Thirty years of safety climate researce: Reflections and future directions. *Accident Analysis & Prevention* 42 (5), 1517-1522.